Anatomo-electro-clinical correlations

Epileptic Disord 2013; 15 (2): 148-57

Anatomo-electro-clinical correlations: the Geneva-Lausanne, Switzerland, Case Report

# Successful surgical resection in non-lesional operculo-insular epilepsy without intracranial monitoring

Vitalie Chiosa<sup>1</sup>, Cristina Granziera<sup>2</sup>, Laurent Spinelli<sup>3</sup>, Claudio Pollo<sup>4</sup>, Eliane Roulet-Perez<sup>5</sup>, Stanislav Groppa<sup>1</sup>, Margitta Seeck<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Neurology, Chisinau, Moldova

<sup>2</sup> Department of Neurology, Lausanne

<sup>3</sup> Department of Neurology, Geneva

<sup>4</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Lausanne

<sup>5</sup> Department of Neuropaediatrics, Lausanne, Switzerland

Received February 24, 2012; Accepted April 5, 2013

**ABSTRACT** – Pre-operative assessment and surgical management of patients with non-lesional extratemporal epilepsy remain challenging due to a lack of precise localisation of the epileptic zone. In most cases, invasive recording with depth or subdural electrodes is required. Here, we describe the case of 6.5-year-old girl who underwent comprehensive non-invasive phase I video-EEG investigation for drug-resistant epilepsy, including electric source and nuclear imaging. Left operculo-insular epilepsy was diagnosed. Post-operatively, she developed aphasia which resolved within one year, corroborating the notion of enhanced language plasticity in children. The patient remained seizure-free for more than three years.

Key words: seizure, insular epilepsy, epilepsy surgery, presurgical assessment



Correspondence: Margitta Seeck EEG and Epilepsy Unit, Neurology Clinic, University Hospital (HUG) and University of Geneva, 4, Rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland <margitta.seeck@hcuge.ch> The surgical treatment of non-lesional extratemporal epilepsy (NLEE) remains challenging, mainly due to difficulties in localising the epileptic focus. The chance of improved outcome following surgery for non-lesional extratemporal lobe epilepsy is 35%, compared to 60% if an abnormality is revealed on MRI (Téllez-Zenteno *et al.*, 2010). Thus, in most centres, invasive monitoring is advised. However, even with this procedure, the chance of improved outcome following surgery is, on average, no greater than 50% (Jayakar *et al.*, 2008; Wetjen *et al.*, 2009).

Thus, in NLEE, the full use of complementary imaging tools is warranted in order to compensate for negative MRI results.

Our group has been actively investigating the role of electric source imaging (ESI) for presurgical evaluation over the last 10-20 years and in our experience ESI provides good to excellent results in various patient groups, including non-lesional epilepsy (Brodbeck *et al.*, 2010; Brodbeck *et al.*, 2011). Here, we present a child with non-lesional fronto-temporal lobe epilepsy with frequent daily seizures. ESI obtained from 256 scalp electrodes, nuclear imaging, as well as ictal and interictal EEG recordings with scalp electrodes, suggested a focus within the left insular-opercular cortex. The child received surgery and has since remained seizure-free.

# **Case report**

A 6.5-year-old, right-handed girl suffered from intractable, partial epilepsy since the age of 4.5 years. Clinically, she presented with an undefined, unpleasant feeling, followed by hypersalivation, and left arm elevation and right arm extension (fencing position) or tonic abduction of the arms. Secondary generalisation was frequent. The seizures were almost exclusively nocturnal and exhibited a frequency of up to 20 per day. The patient had been born at term after an unremarkable pregnancy. Early psychomotor development was normal. Her older sister and brother were both healthy and family history was negative for epilepsy. Neurological examination revealed no focal neurological abnormalities. Based on initial neuropsychological evaluation at the age of 6 years and 1 month, the girl was described as shy with limited spontaneous expression, but able to produce short sentences with good phonology and simple syntax and good comprehension. Attention deficit with distractibility and impulsivity, but no major executive dysfunction, was observed. General intelligence was preserved.

Seizure control with various antiepileptic drug combinations, including carbamazepine, valproate, vigabatrin, clonazepam, levetiracetam, and topiramate, was not successful and she was referred for presurgical assessment.

The EEG showed an active left fronto-temporal focus with slow spikes during sleep. During video-EEG monitoring, 15 clinical seizures and more than 20 subclinical seizures were recorded during sleep. During the seizure, she experienced a non-specific aura, and subsequently presented with a tonic elevation of both arms and a fencing position with right arm extension, hypersalivation, and loss of consciousness. During the postictal phase, she could not speak but could understand simple orders. The ictal EEG showed left centro-temporal onset, concordant with the localisation of interictal abnormalities. The ictal ECG tracing revealed significant bradycardia (*i.e.* from 120 to 60 Hz), including brief asystole of 3-4 seconds during three seizures (*figure 1*). Subclinical seizures also showed a left centro-temporal onset. High-resolution 3T MRI did not show any abnormality (*figure 2*). A 256-channel scalp recording identified interictal spikes and sharp waves, which were localised to the left opercular region, after co-registration of the electrical source to the patient's MRI (Spinelli *et al.*, 2000; Lantz and Michel, 2004) (*figure 3*).

Interictal F-18 positron-emission tomography (PET) indicated left insular and opercular hypometabolism. In addition, ictal 99mTc-ECD SPECT showed hyperperfusion in the same structures, predominant in the operculum (*figure 4*).

Overall, our work-up strongly indicated left operculoinsular epilepsy with secondary recruitment of the insular region, possibly due to focal cortical dysplasia that was not visible on MRI. Pharmaco-resistance was well documented, thus the indication for surgical treatment remained a consideration.

Although there was no visible lesion and the focus was close to Broca's area, we proceeded directly to surgical resection (with peri-operative corticography) for several reasons:

(1) the implantation of depth and/or subdural electrodes in this area is associated with a significant operative risk in itself;

(2) it was felt that the benefit of intracranial recording was relatively small, due to a significant likelihood of incomplete coverage of the area, *i.e.* despite the operative risk, an uncertainty concerning the true size of the epileptogenic zone remained;

(3) the child suffered from post-operative aphasia, *i.e.* language cortex was most likely in or nearby the epileptogenic zone. However, language mapping would have been difficult since the child spoke only rudimentary French (maternal language was Albanese). We also felt that at least partial language recovery could be expected at that age.

# **Operative procedure**

The surgical procedure was performed under general anaesthesia. Neuro-navigation was used to determine optimal craniotomy in order to expose the cortical regions of interest. At exposure, the appearance of brain tissue was normal on inspection whereas an abnormal consistency was mostly found in the frontal operculum and superior portion of the insula. The resection of frontal and temporal operculum allowed us to demarcate the insula and the extent of the anteroposterior region. A disconnection of the insular cortex

In the second second						
Affichage Outis	1 20,V/mm 0.1 1 1/0 Hz					
Marque d'1 DEBUT CL EEG	0		0 H	5	17	1
FP2-F8			man			~
F8-T2		*******	min	man	and the second	÷
T2-T8 Mymm	man and the second s	*******	mon	man	and a second	in
T8-P8 www.			ummun	www.www.ww	www.www.	~
P8-O2	waren Marine and a start and a start and a start	ware produced	man and and and and and and and and and a	mon and the	and the second	in.
FP2-F4		man	1 martine	www.		~
F4-C4 for the second			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	mmmm	m.	w
C4-P4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	······································				n
P4-02 manufillion		man and the second	Man Marine	marging marging	and the second of the second o	~
FPI-F3	- Marine Manager	man and and and		my my	and a second	Y
C3 D3 A	men Marine	······································	Land Walter all	warden Alman	and a second and a second and	WV 
C3-P3 P Transient	and and a second and a second and a second a se	www.www.w	man and a company	month mo	when the manufacture of the second	Ĩ
P3-OI	here and the second		mar with			~
			ma Was		and the fore the free free free free free free free fr	
T1 T7 M						
T7 P7	and the second s	and an and the second of the second s		And a second second second	where a second	W.
P7 01		and a construction of the second s	adding an Across DI	Land Marine Marine	calment on Alexandra Andra Calman	1
F7-C7			Andreas maria	an manh on		1
Cz-Pz		and all all all all all all all all all al				L
SLI						
FCG	Indundand.		hard		Induction	i.
9	10 11	12	13 14	5	16 17	1
19 28 (8 10 s 1 10 s			10 H	5		-1-
1928:03 10 s 2000 B Affichage Oudris * © finances * Ref ~ .	E 20//mm 0011 C 200Ha 0 W			5	" Idda "Ddd	
19 20:02   10 2    10 2	C 11 1			5		
19 28:03       10 2       9         Allchage       Outlin       9         *       O har-was       Bef         FP2-F8       Outlin       9				s 		
19 28:03       10 x       3         B       Antichage       Outling       100 x         *       Ø       Income       100 x         FP2-F8				e marine and a second		- D
B       Bit	С п <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u>			E.		
19 28:00       10 x       3         B       Attings       0 attings       10 x         *       Ø harman       10 x       10 x         FP2-F8       F6-T2       70 x       10 x         T2-T8       F60 x       70 x       10 x         P8-Q2       Markan x       Markan x       10 x				E		
19 20:00       10 x       3         B       Attriage (name)       10 x         ★ Ø harman       10 x       10 x         FP2-F8       10 x       10 x         F8-T2       10 x       10 x         T2-T8       10 x <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>a man</td> <td></td> <td></td>				a man		
IB #       B         Alternage Outling       B         IB #       B         Alternage Outling       B         FP2-F8       B         F8-T2       B         T2-T8       B         F8-T2       B         F8-T2       B         F8-T2       B         F9-2-F8       B         F8-T2       B         F9-2-F8       B         F9-2-F4       B         F9-2-F4       B         F9-02       B         F4-C4       C				E 		
IB #       IB # <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>a manager</td><td></td><td></td></td<>				a manager		
B       Second       B         # @ Data       Be       Be         FP2-F8       Be       Be         F8-T2       T2-F8       Be         F8-T2       T2-F8       T2-F8         F8-T2       T2-F8       T2-F8         F8-T2       T2-F8       T2-F8         F8-T2       T2-F8       T2-F8         F8-C2       T2-F8       T2-F4         F4-C4       T2-F4       T2-F4         F4-C4       T2-F4       T2-F4				and when we have a set of the set		
B       B         # @ harase       Be         FP2-F8       Be         F8-T2       Be         T2-T8       Be         F8-T2       Be         F8-T2       Be         F8-T4       Be         F92-F8       Se         F92-F8       Se         F92-F8       Se         F92-F8       Se         F92-F8       Se         F92-F8       Se         F92-F4       Se         F92-F4       Se         F91-F3       Se         F91-F3       Se				and		
Image       Image <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>						
B       Description       Description         # @ hereare       # @ hereare       # @ hereare         FP2-F8       # @ hereare       # @ hereare         F8-T2       # @ hereare       # @ hereare         F92-F8       # @ hereare       # @ hereare         F92-F4       # @ hereare       # @ hereare         F92-F4       # @ hereare       # @ hereare         F91-F3       # @ hereare       # @ hereare         F91-F3       # @ hereare       # @ hereare         F3-F3       # @ hereare       # @ hereare						
B       Dist       Dis       Dist       Dist       Dis						
B       Second Control       Second Control         # @ beam       Beam       Beam       Beam         # @ beam       Beam       Beam       Beam       Beam         FP2-F8       Control       Beam       Beam       Beam       Feature         F8-T2       Control       Control       Beam       Beam       Feature       Feature         F8-T2       Control       Control       Feature       Feature </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
B     Antimage   Data     PE2-F8   Description     F8-T2   Description     F92-F4   Description     F92-F4   Description     F1-F3   Description     F3-C3   Why Weight Wight Wig						
B       Image       Ima						
B       B         Attemp:       Data         # Ø       Data         FP2-F8       Data         F8-T2       Data         T2-T8       Data         FP2-F4       Data         FP2-F3       Data         F8-T2       Data         T2-T8       Data         FP2-F4       Data         FP2-F4       Data         F92-F4       Data         F93-G1       Data         F93-G1       Data         F91-F7       Data         F91-F7       Data         F7-T1       Data         F7-T1       Data         F7-T7       Data         F7-F7       Data						
B     Articles   Data     # Ø   Data     FP2-F8   Data     F8-T2   Data     T2-T8   Data     P8-02   Data     F4-C4   Data     F12-F3   Data     F2-F4   Data     F3-C3   Data     F3-C1   Data     F3-C1   Data     F72-F7   Data						
B       B         Attemp Outs       B         *       O         * <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
B     Although Carling     B     Although Carling     B     Although Carling     B     B     FIP2-FR8     FR8-T2     T2-T8     FR8-T2     T2-T8     FR9-C2     FR9-C2     FR4-C4     FR9-C2     FR9-C2     FR9-C2     FR9-C3     FR9-C4     FR9-C5     FR9-C4     FR9-C5     FR9-C6     FR9-C7     FR9-C7     FR9-C8     FR9-C9						
B     Alternation Control     B     Alternation Control     FRP2-FR8     FR8-T2     T2-T8     FR8-T2     T2-T8     FR8-T2     T2-T8     FR8-T2     FR8-T2     T2-T8     FR8-T2     T2-T8     FR8-T2     T2-T8     FR8-T2     T2-T8     FR9-C2     FR9-C2     FR9-C2     FR9-C3     FR9-C3     FR9-C3     FR9-C3     FR9-C4     FR9-C3     FR9-C4     FR9-C4     FR9-C5     FR9-C6     FR9-C7     FR9-C8     FR9-C9     FR9-C9  <						

**Figure 1.** (A-G) Ictal EEG showing a 20-25-Hz rhythm preceding clinical onset, maximum in the left central electrode (C3;  $\rightarrow$ ), and progressing with irregular slowing-down in the left fronto-central region and a semirhythmic spike/polyspike-wave pattern. (A-E) Bipolar montage; (F and G) ictal onset in monopolar montage.



Figure 1. (Continued)



Figure 1. (Continued)



Figure 1. (Continued)

was then performed by aspiration of the subcortical white matter, tangentially to the insular surface from the depth of the inferior and superior semi-circular sulci.

Histopathological analysis showed the presence of ectopic neurons and gliosis in the white matter of the left frontal operculum, as well as white matter gliosis in the insula.

### Outcome

The child was closely followed since her operation three years ago. She was seizure-free until her last visit. Her parents did not observe any episodes of loss of consciousness, involuntary movements, or nocturnal events.

Initially, after the neurosurgery, she presented with Broca's aphasia and right brachio-facial paresis with facial asymmetry. She was able to pronounce only a few monosyllabic or bisyllabic words and demonstrated major difficulties in lexical access and phonological errors. However, verbal comprehension was preserved. Six months post-operatively, her right face and arm paresis disappeared completely. After one year post-operation, she spoke fluently and was intelligible with residual phonological, lexical, and syntactic difficulties. She also showed deficits in writing and mathematics. Her verbal IQ was 81 and performance IQ was 102 (WISC IV). Overall, her level of speech returned to the level before the operation with unchanged comprehension.

# Discussion

We report the case of a 6.5-year-old girl who underwent surgical treatment for drug-resistant left operculo-insular epilepsy, making use of the full range of non-invasive imaging techniques. The child was seizure-free at two years of follow-up. After surgery, she developed Broca's aphasia which resolved within 6-12 months.

Outcomes following extratemporal resection vary widely across the literature (Janszky *et al.*, 2000; Roper, 2009). Patients with refractory extratemporal epilepsy



**Figure 2.** Pre-operative MRI. (A) T1 sequence; (B) T2 sequence, (C) axial FLAIR of the same examination. The right side of the brain corresponds to the left side of the

The right side of the brain corresponds to the left side of the figure.



Figure 3. The maxima of the PET, SPECT, and electric source imaging (ESI) findings co-registered.

PET hypometabolism is not visible because it is relatively circumscribed and covered by the positive findings of the ESI and ictal SPECT.

The left side of the brain corresponds to the left side of the figure.

and structural lesions on MRI have the best chance of seizure freedom (Carrette et al., 2010; Téllez-Zenteno et al., 2010); between 60 and 70% are reported to have Engel class I outcome. Patients with nonlesional extratemporal epilepsy represent a particular difficult-to-treat patient group; on average, only 33-50% become seizure-free (lavakar et al., 2008: Wetien et al., 2009; Ansari et al., 2010; Téllez-Zenteno et al., 2010). Thus, additional tools for focus localisation are crucial in order to increase the yield of surgical therapy in these patients. PET and (ictal) SPECT are now well established tools and have been found to be useful for both non-lesional and lesional cases. Co-registration may increase the yield, in particular when based on the patient's individual MRI (Knowlton, 2006; Kurian et al., 2007). FDG-PET remains an important clinical tool for patients with non-lesional, neocortical epilepsy in order to correctly localise the epileptogenic lobe. An overall diagnostic sensitivity of 44% for FDG-PET in patients with different forms of refractory partial epilepsy and normal MRI findings was reported, in contrast to 68% in lesional extratemporal lobe epilepsy (Kim et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2005).

Ictal SPECT is another useful tool with reported sensitivity and specificity of 73% and 75%, respectively (temporal and extratemporal epilepsy cases combined; Spanaki *et al.*, 1999). In patients with intractable extratemporal lobe epilepsy, a localised abnormality in around two thirds is reported, in particular, when analysed with subtraction analysis (Won *et al.*, 1999; O'Brien *et al.*, 2000). To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports of SPECT studies specifically of patients with NLEE, however, most authors agree that



**Figure 4.** Left: co-registered PET demonstrating hypometabolism in the left operculo-insular region (red circle). Right: ictal SPECT showing focal hyperfusion in the same region.

The left side of the brain corresponds to the left side of the figure.

the yield is less evident in extratemporal, rather than temporal lobe epilepsy (Rathore *et al.*, 2011).

ESI has become another technique with excellent sensitivity for the localisation of the epileptic focus. It is particularly useful in paediatric patients, given that sedation is not required. ESI has been shown to provide important information for focus localisation in the presurgical workup (Boon et al., 2002; Bast et al., 2006) with a sensitivity of 75% in extratemporal lobe epilepsy (Michel et al., 2004; Brodbeck et al., 2011). Even in non-lesional epilepsy, ESI has demonstrated excellent accuracy (Brodbeck et al., 2010). ESI was also analysed in our patient and a distinct region in the left operculo-insular area was identified by co-registration of the patient's MRI, PET, and ictal SPECT. Concordance between two or more imaging techniques was shown to be related to a greater chance of post-operative seizure freedom (Kurian et al., 2007). Thus, in our case, in which we found a high concordance between three imaging techniques, we felt there was no indication to proceed to phase II evaluation, for focus localisation. Intracranial EEG may also be indicated in order to determine the extent of the epileptogenic zone, and/or presence of vital nearby cortex which is difficult to ascertain by non-invasive examination alone. However, even with subdural and/or depth electrodes, surgical Engel class I outcome in patients with extratemporal dysplasia is only reported in 30-50% patients (Wyllie et al., 1994; Elsharkawy et al., 2008; Wetjen et al., 2009), probably due to incomplete focus coverage. Peri-operative EEG monitoring is an alternative option, used by ourselves, but may be difficult to carry out if there are major time constraints. Motor and sensory cortex may be determined by intra-operative evoked potentials or electrical stimulation, but requires an experienced team of neurosurgeons and neurophysiologists.

Dobesberger et al. (2008) reported a patient suffering with non-lesional insular epilepsy who became seizure-free after a limited resection of the anterior part of the right insula and right frontal operculum. A few other case reports have provided evidence for the benefit of operculo-insular resection in cases of lesional epilepsy (Roper et al., 1993; Malak et al., 2009). Epilepsy surgery of the insula in the language-dominant hemisphere carries important risks of language deficits. However, children have an enhanced capacity for language plasticity, compared to adults, as demonstrated by their capacity to recover from brain injuries or radical surgery, such as hemispherectomy for epilepsy (Johnston, 2004; Roulet-Perez et al., 2010). Basic mechanisms that support plasticity during development include persistence of neurogenesis in some parts of the brain, elimination of neurons through apoptosis or programmed cell death, postnatal proliferation and pruning of synapses, and activitydependent refinement of ipsilateral and contralateral neuronal connections. The transfer of language to the right hemisphere was identified following early injury in young children, *i.e.* before the age of 5 to 9 years (Duchowny et al., 1996). A functional MRI study illustrated language plasticity after left hemispherotomy at the age of 9 years for Rasmussen's syndrome of the left hemisphere, due to the ability of the right hemisphere to take over some expressive language functions (Hertz-Pannier et al., 2002). These observations demonstrate the capacity of the brain to reorganise itself after removal of languagerelated cortex. Moreover, we were previously unable to reliably map language cortex in a patient with posterior temporal dysplasia by electrocorticography (Seeck et al., 2006). Thus, we felt that, in this particular case, resection without previous intracranial EEG could be envisaged, given the possible difficulties in covering the entire epileptogenic zone and the lack of a need to determine language cortex. Post-operative evolution demonstrated that language plasticity occurred reliably and that the level of speech in our patient at six months post-surgery was the same as that before surgery.

## Conclusion

Here, we present a case of left operculo-insular nonlesional epilepsy, in which the patient received surgery without phase II assessment, but with ESI imaging and nuclear imaging data, with excellent neurological and cognitive outcome after two years. Extratemporal epilepsy surgery is particularly challenging, in terms of post-operative seizure control, especially in cases of non-lesional epilepsy. By using non-invasive imaging techniques, including also ESI, invasive EEG monitoring may be avoided in selected cases. However, this requires careful evaluation and co-registration of all imaging techniques, as well as the presence of a clearly defined, unifocal result. Phase II evaluation with chronic intracranial electrodes may be reserved for cases in which the determination of the extent of the epileptogenic zone or the presence of close vital cortex is not otherwise possible.  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgments.

The EEG data were analysed with the Cartool software (http:// brainmapping.unige.ch/Cartool.php), which was developed by Denis Brunet, from the Functional Brain Mapping Laboratory, Geneva, supported by the Centre for Biomedical Imaging (CIBM), Geneva, and Lausanne, Switzerland. This work was also supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, by the grants SPUM 33CM30-124089 (M.S.) and No 320030-122073 (K.S.), SPUM140332, SNF 122073, 127608 and SCOPES 111086.

#### Disclosures.

None of the authors has any conflict of interests to declare. Margitta Seeck received speaker's fees for EISAI, UCB, EGI, GSK.

### References

Ansari S, Maher C, Tubbs R, Terry C, Cohen-Gadol A. Surgery for extratemporal nonlesional epilepsy in children: a metaanalysis. *Childs Nerv Syst* 2010; 26: 945-51. Bast T, Boppel T, Rupp A, *et al.* Noninvasive source localization of interictal EEG spikes: effects of signal-to-noise ratio and averaging. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 2006; 23: 487-97.

Boon P, D'Havé M, Vanrumste B, *et al.* Ictal source localization in presurgical patients with refractory epilepsy. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 2002; 19: 461-8.

Brodbeck V, Spinelli L, Lascano AM, *et al*. Electrical source imaging for presurgical focus localization in epilepsy patients with normal MRI. *Epilepsia* 2010; 51: 583-91.

Brodbeck V, Spinelli L, Lascano AM, *et al*. Electroencephalographic source imaging: a prospective study of 152 operated epileptic patients. *Brain* 2011; 134: 2887-97.

Carrette E, Vonck K, De Herdt V, *et al.* Predictive factors for outcome of invasive video-EEG monitoring and subsequent resective surgery in patients with refractory epilepsy. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2010; 112: 118-26.

Dobesberger J, Ortler M, Unterberger I, *et al.* Successful surgical treatment of insular epilepsy with nocturnal hypermotor seizures. *Epilepsia* 2008; 49: 159-62.

Duchowny M, Jayakar P, Harvey AS, *et al.* Language cortex representation: effects of developmental versus acquired pathology. *Ann Neurol* 1996; 40: 31-8.

Elsharkawy AE, Behne F, Oppel F, *et al.* Long-term outcome of extratemporal epilepsy surgery among 154 adult patients. *J Neurosurg* 2008; 108: 676-86.

Hertz-Pannier L, Chiron C, Jambaqué I, *et al*. Late plasticity for language in a child's non-dominant hemisphere. *Brain* 2002; 125: 361-72.

Janszky J, Jokeit H, Schulz R, Hoppe M, Ebner A. EEG predicts surgical outcome in lesional frontal lobe epilepsy. *Neurology* 2000; 54: 1470-6.

Jayakar P, Dunoyer C, Dean P, et al. Epilepsy surgery in patients with normal or nonfocal MRI scans: integrative strategies offer long-term seizure relief. *Epilepsia* 2008; 49: 758-64.

Johnston MV. Clinical disorders of brain plasticity. *Brain Dev* 2004; 26: 73-80.

Kim JT, Bai SJ, Choi KO, *et al.* Comparison of various imaging modalities in localization of epileptogenic lesion using epilepsy surgery outcome in pediatric patients. *Seizure* 2009; 18: 504-10.

Knowlton RC. The role of FDG-PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG in the epilepsy surgery evaluation. *Epilepsy Behav* 2006; 8: 91-101.

Kurian M, Spinelli L, Delavelle J, *et al*. Multimodality imaging for focus localization in pediatric pharmacoresistant epilepsy. *Epileptic Disord* 2007; 9: 20. doi: 10.1684/epd.2007.0070.

Lantz G, Michel CM. Electric source imaging of epileptic foci. *Epileptologie* 2004; 21: 117-21.

Lee HW, Seo HJ, Cohen LG, Bagic A, Theodore WH. Cortical excitability during prolonged antiepileptic drug treatment and drug withdrawal. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2005; 116: 1105-12.

Malak R, Bouthillier A, Carmant L, et al. Microsurgery of epileptic foci in the insular region. J Neurosurg 2009; 110: 1153-63.

Michel CM, Lantz G, Spinelli L, de Peralta RG, Landis T, Seeck M. 128-Channel EEG source imaging in epilepsy: clinical yield and localization precision. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 2004; 21:71-83.

O'Brien TJ, So EL, Mullan BP, *et al*. Subtraction peri-ictal SPECT is predictive of extratemporal epilepsy surgery outcome. *Neurology* 2000; 55: 1668-77.

Rathore C, Kesavadas C, Ajith J, Sasikala A, Sarma SP, Radhakrishnan K. Cost-effective utilization of single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) in decision making for epilepsy surgery. *Seizure* 2011; 20: 107-14.

Roper SN. Surgical treatment of the extratemporal epilepsies. *Epilepsia* 2009; 50: 69-74.

Roper SN, Levesque MF, Sutherling WW, Engel J. Surgical treatment of partial epilepsy arising from the insular cortex. *J Neurosurg* 1993; 79: 266-9.

Roulet-Perez E, Davidoff V, Mayor-Dubois C, et al. Impact of severe epilepsy on development: recovery potential after successful early epilepsy surgery. *Epilepsia* 2010; 51: 1266-76.

Seeck M, Pegna AJ, Ortigue S, *et al*. Speech arrest with stimulation may not reliably predict language deficit after epilepsy surgery. *Neurology* 2006; 66: 592-4. Spanaki MV, Spencer SS, Corsi M, MacMullan J, Seibyl J, Zubal IG. Sensitivity and specificity of quantitative difference SPECT analysis in seizure localization. *J Nuclear Med* 1999; 40: 730.

Spinelli L, Andino SG, Lantz G, Seeck M, Michel CM. Electromagnetic inverse solutions in anatomically constrained spherical head models. *Brain Topogr* 2000; 13: 115-25.

Téllez-Zenteno JF, Ronquillo LH, Moien-Afshari F, Wiebe S. Surgical outcomes in lesional and non-lesional epilepsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epilepsy Res* 2010; 89: 310-8.

Wetjen NM, Marsh WR, Meyer FB, *et al.* Intracranial electroencephalography seizure onset patterns and surgical outcomes in nonlesional extratemporal epilepsy. *J Neurosurg* 2009; 110: 1147-52.

Won HJ, Chang K-H, Cheon J-E, *et al*. Comparison of MR imaging with PET and ictal SPECT in 118 patients with intractable epilepsy. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1999; 20: 593-9.

Wyllie E, Baumgartner C, Prayson R, *et al.* The clinical spectrum of focal cortical dysplasia and epilepsy. *J Epilepsy* 1994; 7: 303-12.