Virologie 2019, 23 (5): E5-E15



# Viral persistence of mammalian reovirus in cell culture: a model of virus-cell coevolution

Infection persistante en culture cellulaire par le réovirus de mammifère : un modèle de coévolution virus-cellule

#### Guy Lemay

Département de microbiologie, infectiologie et immunologie, Université de Montréal, CP 6128, Succ. Centre-ville Montréal (Qué.) Canada, H3C 3J7 Abstract. Although mammalian reovirus exhibits only limited pathogenicity in humans, it has been, and still remains, instrumental in studies of viral replication and pathogenesis. Generally considered as cytolytic, this virus can sometimes establish long-term persistent infections in tissue culture. In fact, in this context, it constitutes one widely used model to demonstrate coevolution between virus and host cells. Initially limited to the murine L929 fibroblasts model, further studies in different cell types appeared in the last few years. Establishment of viral persistence could also become a preferred approach to isolate new viruses that are better adapted to their applications in virotherapy, for example as oncolytic agents against human or animal cancers. A better understanding of the persistence phenomenon, especially of viral genes involved, is thus essential. The development of new tools, such as reverse genetics, appears very promising to achieve these objectives. Actually, this last approach allows us to establish the biological significance of mutations found on viruses selected during viral persistence.

Key words : reovirus, virus persistence, uncoating, interferon, virus evolution

Résumé. Bien qu'étant peu pathogène chez l'humain, le réovirus de mammifère a été, et demeure, un modèle privilégié d'étude de la réplication et de la pathogenèse virale. Généralement considéré comme étant cytolytique, ce virus peut parfois établir des infections persistantes à long terme en culture cellulaire. Dans ce contexte, il constitue, en fait, un des modèles parmi les plus utilisés pour démontrer la coévolution entre virus et cellules. Bien qu'initialement surtout limitées au modèle des cellules fibroblastiques de souris L929, les dernières années ont vu apparaître d'autres études au sein de différents types cellulaires. L'utilisation de la persistance virale pourrait devenir un outil de prédilection pour isoler de nouveaux virus mieux adaptés à des utilisations virothérapeutiques, par exemple en tant qu'agents oncolytiques contre des cancers humains ou animaux. Une meilleure compréhension du phénomène de persistance, spécialement des gènes viraux impliqués, s'avère donc essentielle. Le développement de nouveaux outils, tel que l'utilisation de la génétique inverse, apparaît très prometteur pour l'atteinte de ces objectifs. Cette dernière approche permet en effet d'établir la signification biologique de mutations retrouvées chez les virus sélectionnés lors de la persistance virale.

Mots clés : réovirus, persistance virale, décapsidation, interféron, évolution virale

**Correspondance :** G. Lemay <guy.lemay@umontreal.ca>

## **General principles**

Cytolytic viruses, such as mammalian reovirus (hereafter referred to as "reovirus") can sometimes establish persistent nonlytic infection in tissue culture. Although this is outside the scope of the present review, the importance of "revisiting" the concept of "cytolytic" viruses was recently stressed [1]. Indeed, mammalian reovirus, although generally considered as cytolytic, can be released from certain cell types in the absence of cell lysis [2, 3].

The phenomenon of viral persistence, thus far from being only a laboratory curiosity, can constitute a powerful experimental system to decipher important steps in the viral replication cycle. The establishment of viral persistence can be accompanied by important changes in the properties of the virus itself, but also of its host cell, and thus constitutes a biologically significant model of virus-host coevolution.

Among mammalian viruses, reovirus has been extensively used as a model of viral replication and viral pathogenesis. More recently, a renewed interest in the study of this virus has been noticed since it is currently used in clinical trials for virotherapeutic approaches against various cancers [4, 5]. Reovirus, under his trade name Reolysin<sup>®</sup>, has in fact been granted the status of orphan drugs for various cancers by both the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the United States and the European Medicines Agency (EMA).

Not surprisingly, this virus, despite the fact that it is gebreak nerally cytolytic, has been the subject of various studies concerning its ability to establish and maintain viral persistence, essentially in cell culture. Such studies had an important impact on our understanding of reovirus replication and host-cell interactions in the past and still have the potential to further contribute to this understanding. Furthermore, such approaches could help to develop practical applications for reovirus, by allowing the selection of new viral variants that are better adapted to infect and destroy cancer cells, among other things [6, 7].

Surprisingly, despite the fact that viral persistence has now been obtained from many different cell lines, few of the resulting adapted viruses had their genomes completely sequenced. Indeed, only the sequence of the Vero-cell-adapted virus obtained in our laboratory has been completely determined to date [8]. The progress in sequencing approaches will certainly allow to correct this situation in the near future. Furthermore, the advent of plasmid-based reverse genetics now allows to confirm the importance of the different mutations observed in the adapted viruses. These mutations can be separately introduced in a wildtype virus backbone, as done in some of our recent works [8, 9].

As discussed later, early events in the viral multiplication cycle, leading to virus entry, are central to the phenomenon



Figure 1. Reovirus binding and entry. (A) The figure presents the main steps in viral entry starting from the virion. First, (1) the virion binds at the cell surface by its trimeric spike protein  $\sigma$ 1 (black sphere). Following endocytosis (2), lysosomal proteases action allows the removal of the  $\sigma$ 3 protein (in red) and the cleavage of the  $\mu$ 1 protein (in green) (3), allowing the viral particle to cross the endosomal membrane. The exit from the endosome (4) occurs with the release of both the  $\sigma$ 1 protein and the remaining part of  $\mu$ 1. These last steps involve the participation of cellular proteins acting as molecular chaperones. The viral particle is finally found in the cytoplasm under the form of core, a viral particle completely devoid of outer capsid proteins and transcriptionally active (5). The released core allows synthesis of the 3 size classes of viral messenger RNA (6). (B) The figure presents viral entry when the virion is first partially uncoated by the action of extracellular proteases. (1) The resulting infectious subviral particle (ISVP) binds to the cell membrane (2) to be either internalized by endocytosis (3) or, possibly, to directly penetrate through the plasma membrane (3b), bypassing in both cases the need for endosomal/lysosomal proteases. In all cases, the core is then produced (4) allowing transcription as described for the virion (5, 6).

of viral persistence (figure 1) [10-12]. Following the binding of the viral  $\sigma$ 1 protein onto the cell surface, the viral particle will be internalized. The  $\sigma 1$  protein possesses a long helicoidal portion in its amino-terminal region, including a sequence at the end for anchoring the protein to the virion. The central region of the protein allows binding to sialic acids in virus strains that actually possess this property, including the Dearing strain of serotype 3, generally used in the studies of viral persistence. The protein ends by a globular region at the carboxyl-terminal end involved in the binding to the protein receptor mostly used for virus binding to epithelial cells, the junctional adhesion molecule (JAM) protein [10, 13]. The cleavage of outer capsid proteins, beginning by the  $\sigma$ 3 outer capsid protein, first follows internalization of the viral particle. Cleavage of the outer capsid protein µ1 then allows to generate infectious particles referred to as "infectious subviral particles" (ISVPs) that can then cross the endosomal membrane toward the cytoplasm, allowing activation of the transcription from the inner viral capsid or "core." Partial uncoating by lysosomal enzymes, to generate ISVPs, is a limiting factor in a number of cell types [14-18]. The ISVPs can also be generated by proteolytic cleavage outside the cells, allowing to bypass the steps of intracellular uncoating. It should be mentioned that the structure of  $\sigma 1$  and of the heterohexameric complex  $\sigma 3$ -µ1 [19-21] has been determined and will be discussed later on (*figure 3* and *figure 4*).

About a dozen different cell lines have been reported to become persistently infected by reovirus under certain conditions. However, this depends on the definition given to "persistent infection." As an example, infection of the bat Tb1-Lu cell line results in a productive infection with minimal cell death [22]. Viral production appears to slowly decrease with time without actual changes in either cells or viruses. This should be rather called "nonlytic" or "chronic" infection, rather than persistent infection per se, as will be discussed later. In some cell types in which persistent infection was reported without actual cytopathic effect, such as in MDCK cells [23], it is probably a similar situation in which viral persistence with all its consequences is not necessarily established. Our own data were, in fact, quite different in this cell line (unpublished data). This raises the question of variations in the cell lines and viruses used between laboratories, thus further complicating comparisons. Furthermore, in many cases, viruses and cells were poorly characterized following the establishment of persistence. Another example is the report that persistent reovirus infection affects growth properties of BALB 3T3 murine fibroblasts [24]. In later work it has rather been demonstrated that reovirus preferentially infects transformed murine fibroblast cells while parental cells, such as untransformed BALB cells, are quite resistant to the infection [25, 26]. This suggests that the cell stock used during the establishment of viral persistence may have been partly made of transformed cells, as occurs when cells are let to stay confluent for a certain period of time. Alternatively, contamination by another cell type remains a possibility.

In this review, persistent reovirus infection will be defined as an infection that is established after a period of cell lysis in cell types in which infection is cytolytic under usual conditions. The majority of the cells are then infected but constant reinfection is necessary to maintain the infection. We will see later on that this is accompanied by changes to both the virus and the cells involved.

We will now examine in greater details few cellular models in which reovirus persistent infection was studied more extensively. Initially, most of the work on viral persistence was done using L929 cells, which are mouse fibroblasts most often used for reovirus growth. Viral persistence in this cell model has been reviewed in 1998 [27]; we will briefly summarize here the major aspects of this model and further discuss progress made since this last extensive review.

Upon reovirus infection, most L929 cells are readily killed and destroyed, sometimes in less than 24 hours at 37 °C, depending on multiplicity of infection that was used. However, it was observed that a small number of cells can nevertheless survive. These cells will slowly grow with frequent phases of "crisis" in which extensive cell death is observed. Nevertheless, following this relatively long period of persistence establishment, a cell population can be selected. These cells continuously produce and release infectious virus in the absence of cell lysis; the virus produced is being referred to as a PI virus (from Persistent Infection). The persistently infected cells can eliminate the virus by long-term exposure to a neutralizing antireovirus antiserum [28]. Interestingly, these so-called "cured" cells are quite resistant to the wild-type virus but easily killed upon infection by the corresponding PI virus. A schematic summary of the establishment of viral persistence on L929 cells is presented in figure 2A, while figure 2B schematizes the result of virus-cell coevolution. Further work has shown that cured cells have been selected to express reduced levels of mature lysosomal proteases known as cathepsins [29, 30]; these cells are therefore inefficient at removing the outer viral capsid proteins during viral uncoating. In parallel, PI viruses have evolved to be able to infect the cured cells. Studies using gene exchange (reassortment) have initially found that a major outer capsid protein,  $\sigma$ 3, and the viral cell attachment protein,  $\sigma$ 1, are respectively involved in establishment and maintenance of viral persistence [31, 32]. To date, the complete genome sequence of a PI virus from L929 cells has not been obtained, but the sequence of the genes encoding these two apparently critical proteins has been. Actually, this sequencing was not performed directly on PCR products, as is now most commonly used, but rather by cloning of cDNAs, always raising the possibility of sequencing a minor population that is not representative of the actual replicating virus. It will certainly be of interest to confirm these sequences on different populations of PI viruses. However, the study of amino acids substitutions on  $\sigma$ 3, especially the Y354H substitution, has allowed to clearly establish that these substitutions render the virion more sensitive to cathepsins [33]. This allows uncoating in cured cells while the wild-type virus is blocked under such reduced proteases conditions. Accordingly, PI viruses are resistant to inhibitors of endosomal acidifica-



Figure 2. Establishment of viral persistence and virus-cell coevolution. (A) Following infection with a wild-type virus, most cells are killed par cytolysis (dashed). However, few cells can survive and become persistently infected (in green). Eventually, the cell can evolve (grid) and the virus as well so that it becomes a virus referred to as the persistent infection virus (PI virus) (in black). This results in a balanced situation with virus release and constant reinfection. Such cells can be "cured" from their infection by addition of a neutralizing antiserum that blocks viral reinfection. (B) The original parental cells can be infected and destroyed with either the wild-type virus or the PI virus recovered from persistently infected cells. In contrast, so-called "cured" cells, that were in contact with the virus for a long period of time during viral persistence, are resistant to the parental wild-type virus, but are sensitive to the PI virus.

tion (required for the formation of mature cathepsins) and, more directly, to lysosomal protease inhibitors such as E64 [33-37]. Virions of PI viruses are thus in a sense reminiscent of ISVPs. The X-ray crystal structure allows to localize the amino acid substitutions on the  $\sigma$ 3 protein of different known PI viruses, as shown in figure 3. As discussed later on, substitutions located on the  $\sigma$ 3 protein are also found on PI viruses obtained from other cell lines. The molecular structure is available for the homodimer of  $\sigma$ 3, as well as the  $\sigma$ 3-µ1 heterohexamer, the major constituent of the outer capsid, and was later refined by cryomicroscopy of the virion [19-21]. These different substitutions are all located on the small external lobe of the protein, and thus likely to affect the proteolytic removal of  $\sigma$ 3 [10, 12, 38, 39]. These substitutions are located at the surface of the protein and away from the contact zone between the two copies of the molecule in its dimeric form. The substitutions are also away from the contact zone between  $\sigma$ 3 and  $\mu$ 1 in the heterohexameric complex at the virion's surface.

One can wonder why wild-type viruses have not acquired this ability to more easily uncoat, that could be seen as an advantage. In fact, such a virus is more pathogenic and is being transmitted more efficiently in an animal model [40]. It is, however, possible that an increased pathogenicity is actually harmful for the virus on the longterm; alternatively, it is also possible that the transmission assay used does not exactly reflect the negative impact of decreased virion stability in the environment. Nevertheless, more detailed studies of reovirus strains in natural situations seem necessary to better understand if such mutations arise or not, and are maintained or not, under such conditions.

The exact role of the  $\sigma 1$  substitutions in these viruses remains elusive; it was suggested that they can affect the stability of the complex formed by three copies of the protein [41] but the precise impact was not further studied. The determination of the structure of the protein [42, 43] now allows us to locate more precisely the substitutions, confirming their localization in the helical region of the protein (figure 4) unambiguously allowing to affirm that they exert an effect on the stability of the protein [42]. It should also be mentioned that some of the mutations overlap the open reading frame for the small  $\sigma$ 1s protein, encoded by the same S1 gene; it is thus difficult to affirm that the phenotype is really due to changes on  $\sigma$ 1. The advent of reverse genetics could probably lead to a further understanding of the importance of these various substitutions. Interestingly, in two other different cell types, persistence is also accompanied

# review



Figure 3. Position of amino acids substitutions on the  $\sigma$ 3 protein. (A) The crystal structure of the dimer form of the  $\sigma$ 3 protein (in green and cyan) (PDB: 1FN9) is shown [19]. (B) The heterohexamer made of three molecules of the  $\sigma$ 3 protein (cyan) at the surface of the virion, on top of three molecules of the  $\mu$ 1 protein (light brown) (PDB:1JMU) is shown [20]. Amino acids substituted in various PI viruses are indicated as red spheres on the  $\sigma$ 3 structure.



Figure 4. Position of amino acids substitutions on the  $\sigma$ 1 protein. The crystal structure of the trimer form of the  $\sigma$ 1 protein is shown (PDB:6GAP) [42]. The amino acids substituted on various PI viruses are indicated as red spheres, the substitution shown as important on the PI virus recovered from Vero cells (VeroAV) is indicated. The first 26 and the last 215 amino acids, encompassing the globular portion of the protein, are absent from the structure presented (dashed lines). The blue arrow points toward the position at the end of the truncated form observed on the PI virus recovered from human fibrosarcoma cells HT1080. The position of the region involved in binding to sialic acids [43] is also indicated (green dash).

by changes in  $\sigma 1$  (or  $\sigma 1s$ ). This aspect will be discussed in a subsequent section.

### The Vero cell situation

In the last few years, our laboratory has characterized in detail a virus obtained following reovirus persistence in Vero cells. These cells were chosen since they apparently possess a limited ability to uncoat the virus [14], raising the hypothesis that adaptation could occur by a mechanism other than modification of uncoating. These cells also exhibit well-known defects in the antiviral interferon production and interferon-induced, double-stranded-RNAdependent, protein kinase (PKR) [44-47]. The genome sequence of the PI virus obtained named Vero-cell-adapted virus (VeroAV) was completely determined and revealed amino acids substitutions in four of the eleven translation products of the ten viral genes [8]. The use of the reverse genetics system then allowed us to demonstrate that the single amino acid substitution N198K in  $\sigma$ 1 is responsible for adapting the virus to Vero cells by increasing its binding to sialic acids (figure 4) [9]. Interestingly, in this case, there was no change in  $\sigma$ 3 nor in viral uncoating in itself. In

addition, the  $\mu$ 1 protein simultaneously co-evolved, which apparently renders it more compatible with the modified  $\sigma$ 1. Interestingly, the N198K substitution in  $\sigma$ 1 appeared first during persistence establishment; this suggests that it is actually the critical determinant of adaptation to Vero cells, the other substitution (Q78P) appearing later on. The mutation in the  $\sigma$ 1-encoding gene also results in a single amino acid substitution in a second protein ( $\sigma$ 1s), a small protein found in a different but overlapping reading frame on the same gene [8]. As discussed below, this substitution is an important determinant of interferon sensitivity.

As substitutions at the level of  $\sigma 1$  appeared, other changes on µ1 also appeared. These substitutions (E89G and A114 V) are likely responsible for faster disassembly of the virion [9, 48]. However, again, these changes may not be desirable under natural conditions since they will result in an increased sensitivity to proteases in the gastrointestinal tract [9, 48]. To date, this is the only known example of a modification on  $\mu 1$  in the context of viral persistence. Studies presently undergoing in the laboratory intend to better understand the significance, or not, of each of these substitutions [49]. Interestingly, one of these (E89G) has been independently examined by another group in the course of their studies of the loop formed by region 72-96 of the protein [50]. A substitution of amino acid 89 resulted in a small plaque phenotype in the presence of chymotrypsin, a phenotype that was also observed in our own studies [9, 48], despite the different genetic background of the virus used in these different studies. Similarly, while coevolution (or coadaptation) of  $\mu 1$  and  $\sigma 1$  was observed and is essential on VeroAV [9], subsequent works also indicated an effect of  $\mu 1$ on the infectivity phenotype attributed to  $\sigma$ 1. This underlines the importance of compatibility between these two proteins [51-53]. These observations are also in accordance with previous studies showing a role of  $\mu 1$  on different phenotypes attributed to the S1 gene [54-58], although some of these may depend on the second reading frame encoding  $\sigma$ 1s. Despite the lack of direct physical interaction between the two proteins,  $\sigma 1$  and  $\mu 1$ , an indirect effect on the structure of the viral particle could affect anchoring or exposure of  $\sigma 1$  at the surface of the viral particle [48].

### Other cellular models

In addition to L929 cells, another murine fibroblast cell line has been studied for the ability of reovirus to establish persistent infection. These cells, the murine feral embryonic fibroblasts cell line, SC1, were initially chosen because they exhibit reduced host-cell protein synthesis inhibition and delayed killing upon infection [59]. When they are infected with a wild-type reovirus stock, a higher percentage of the cells can survive, compared to the situation observed with L929 cells. Consequently, persistence is more readily established but the phenomenon of host-cell coevolution can nevertheless be observed. Although, the exact cellular changes were not examined, cured cells could be obtained and they similarly exhibit resistance to wild-type virus and sensitivity to the PI virus recovered from these cells (*unpublished data*). Partial sequencing of the PI virus revealed an amino acid substitution at a different position on  $\sigma$ 3 but close to those previously observed on PI viruses obtained from L929 cells [48] (*figure 3*); it turned out that the virus was also more resistant to the presence of uncoating inhibitors (*unpublished data*).

Various human cell types have also been examined such as lymphocytic cell lines Raji and CA46 as well as fibrosarcoma cells HT1080 [60-62]. An altered  $\sigma$ 3, potentially resulting in a facilitated cleavage of the protein, is also selected in these cells (*figure 3*), but not always exclusively. In at least one case a truncated version of  $\sigma$ 1 was also found (*figure 4*). This again underlines the importance of the two proteins  $\sigma$ 3 and  $\sigma$ 1, two critical proteins during virus entry in the host cell. Again, in all these cases, the complete sequence of the virus was not reported, thus complicating the interpretation.

A last case of viral persistence is in the CHO cell line (chinese hamster ovary cells) with selection of adapted PI virus and host-cell coevolution similar to the L929 cells situation [63]. These studies were not pursued for further characterization of the viruses and cells obtained. These results are also somewhat surprising, since later works have shown that these cells are poorly infected, due to the lack of protein receptor for the virus [64, 65]. Again, a difference in the exact nature of the cell lines used in these different studies likely explain these differences. It remains that the general principle of virus-cell coevolution also applies to this cell line.

# The role of interferon in viral persistence

The role of the host-cell interferon response in reovirus persistence remains unclear. In SC1 cells, viral persistence was found to be associated with constant interferon secretion and activation of PKR [66, 67]. However, in these cells, interferon is not protective and this aspect has not been studied in other persistently infected cell lines. Viral persistence was also established in Vero cells that are deficient for interferon synthesis, the initial hypothesis was that this should allow virus evolution, independently of the constraint to maintain a certain level of resistance to interferon. As a result, it was expected that the resulting virus would be

more sensitive to interferon and this was actually the case. The small nonstructural S1-encoded  $\sigma$ 1s turned out to be a new determinant of viral sensitivity to interferon, independently of an effect on induction of interferon synthesis or release [8].

# Other reflexions on the mechanisms of reovirus persistent infection

The establishment of viral persistence is facilitated when "attenuated" viruses or experimental conditions limiting viral replication, such as the addition of uncoating inhibitors, are used and the events related to viral entry are most important. Persistence establishment is also facilitated by using thermosensitive viruses or conditions where a constellation of mutant viruses are present from the beginning, for example by using high-passage virus stocks [31, 48, 68-71].

As for many eucaryotic viruses, the exact mechanism(s) leading to cell lysis remain(s) elusive and may involve more than one step of the viral multiplication cycle. Certain cell types are also known to be permissive for reovirus replication while remaining viable and releasing infectious virus. This was observed in the case of a bat cell line [22] as well as in endothelial and epithelial cells [2, 3]. The use of a vesicular transport mechanism cannot be excluded as a mechanism for this release without lysis, especially considering recent observations showing remodelling of internal cellular membranes at the level of viral factories in cells infected by reovirus [72]. Another possibility is that reovirus can exploit the autophagic machinery. In the last few years, examples have been accumulating concerning the use of autophagy by viruses, cytolytic or not, for their assembly or release from infected cells [73-77]. More recently, the participation of autophagy in the reovirus oncolytic activity, at least in some cell types, has also been reported [78, 79]. It is thus possible that such a transport by the autophagic machinery could be exploited by reovirus for a non-cytolytic release, as happens from persistently infected cells. In fact, electron microscopic examination of persistently infected cells has shown the presence of viral particles in vesicles, some of them morphologically reminiscent of autophagosomes [17, 23, 29, 66]. However, the exact nature of these vesicles has not been clearly established. A permanent activation of PKR in reovirus persistence has also been previously noticed [66]; such a PKR activity could lead to increased autophagy [80], thus contributing to viral release independently of cell lysis.

In all described examples, reovirus persistent infection requires a constant viral reinfection. This implies that the virus achieves a complete replication cycle and that released virions are infectious. It explains that upon an exposure for a relatively long time to neutralizing antibodies, cells are cured to an undetectable level of viral production, while the removal of the antibody does not lead to a rebound of viral production.

Earlier works suggested a model of viral persistence in which establishment and maintenance are two distinct phenomena relying on different and stepwise genetic alterations on PI viruses. In the first model, changes on  $\sigma$ 3 were considered as essential to the establishment of persistence, as discussed in the above sections. In contrast, maintenance seemed to rely more on changes at the level of the  $\sigma$ 1 protein [32, 71]. It should be mentioned that some of these studies suggested that  $\lambda 2$  could be involved in the establishment of viral persistence by increasing the frequency of mutations in the viral population used for the infection leading to persistence [71]. Although the abundant presence of mutations in the viral population could certainly contribute to the establishment of persistence, a role for the  $\lambda 2$  protein seems unlikely; the idea that this protein could act as the viral polymerase is not compatible with our current knowledge on the transcription and replication of the viral genome [11].

In the Vero cells model the amino acid substitution on  $\sigma 1$  allowing adaptation of the virus to the cells, first appears during the establishment of persistence. Later, the change on  $\sigma 1$ s responsible for interferon sensitivity and the changes to  $\mu 1$  will appear [48]. It is thus likely that the changes described as responsible for "maintenance" are less directly involved in persistence as such but are rather an indirect consequence of viral growth for an extended period of time in a given cell type. The order and the nature of the changes observed in L929 and Vero cells are summarized schematically in *figure 5*.

#### In vivo importance

Few studies have addressed reovirus persistence *in vivo*. In the past, the presence of mutant viruses selected *in vivo* was shown. It also appeared that hybridoma cells obtained following viral inoculation are sometimes persistently infected and can be cured *in vitro* by neutralizing antiserum treatment [81, 82]. These different PI viruses were not further studied.

From another point of view, inoculation of PI viruses recovered from L929 cells seemed to indicate an increased virulence of these viruses, at least by intracerebral inoculation [83, 84]. Finally, tumour cell lines cured from persistent infection *in vitro* remained sensitive to the wild-type virus in the context of tumours *in vivo*, even though they are in fact resistant *in vitro*, whereas persistently-infected



Figure 5. Schematic overview of the establishment and maintenance of persistence. (A) In L929 cells, changes to the external domain of the  $\sigma$ 3 protein (in red) in the heterohexamer of  $\sigma$ 3- $\mu$ 1 appear essential to the establishment of viral persistence (1). Additional changes in the helical region (in red) of the  $\sigma$ 1 host-cell binding protein will then occur (2). (B) In Vero cells, the first changes occur in the region involved in binding to sialic acids on the  $\sigma$ 1 protein (in red) (1) followed by additional changes (in red) on both  $\sigma$ 1 and  $\mu$ 1 on the heterohexamer of  $\sigma$ 3- $\mu$ 1 (2). More details are included in the text.

cells are unable to form tumours under these conditions [60, 61]. Clearly, these different aspects of *in vivo* persistence deserve further studies.

# Viral persistence as a tool to select new reoviruses

The *in vitro* evolution or adaptation of viruses to a given cell type is not only very informative from a fundamental point of view but represents a potential tool to produce new viruses. The selection or construction of new reoviruses better adapted as virotherapeutic agents, gene vectors, vaccines, or oncolytic viruses, has been proposed by many authors [6, 7, 26, 62, 58-89]. In the context of viral persistence, one example of a PI virus selected on a tumour cell line was shown to be attenuated on normal cells without altering its infectivity or cytolytic potential on transformed cells [62]. In this particular case, a deletion of a part of the  $\sigma 1$ protein was observed rather than amino acids substitutions. Serial passage or the selection of viral clones are two possible approaches to select new viruses harbouring useful phenotypes [26, 89, 90]. However, the long-term interaction needed to establish and maintain persistence represents an impressive number of viral cycles that cannot be readily achieved otherwise by these other approaches. Furthermore, the development of cellular resistance is likely a driver of viral evolution in this context, compared to serial

passage, although this last approach probably results in a different type of selective pressure.

Obtaining PI viruses from different cell types, coupled with genome sequencing of these viruses and confirmation of the importance of various substitutions using reverse genetics, could lead to a better understanding of the persistence phenomena and virus-cell coevolution. It will certainly be of interest to obtain viruses at different times during the establishment of persistence to better determine the nature of the changes that are directly related and the phenomenon of coevolution in various cellular contexts.

### Conclusions

Despite the fact that reovirus persistence was described more than 40 years ago, the study of underlying mechanisms remains pertinent. First of all, such studies could allow a better fundamental understanding of virus biology in various cell types. Secondly, these studies could lead, on a longer term, to the identification of new viral variants that could be used in anticancer virotherapy or in other applications.

Acknowledgments. The work carried out in the author's laboratory is presently supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). The author also wishes to thank all staff, collaborators, as

well as numerous students and trainees, for their contributions to the work performed in the laboratory over the years.

**Conflict of interests:** The author has no conflict of interest concerning this article.

#### References

1. Heaton NS. Revisiting the concept of a cytopathic viral infection. *PLoS Pathog* 2017; 13: e1006409-16.

**2**. Excoffon KJDA, Guglielmi KM, Wetzel JD, *et al.* Reovirus preferentially infects the basolateral surface and is released from the apical surface of polarized human respiratory epithelial cells. *J Infect Dis* 2008; 197:1189-97.

**3**. Lai CM, Mainou BA, Kim KS, Dermody TS. Directional release of reovirus from the apical surface of polarized endothelial cells. *mBio* 2013; 4:e00049-13.

**4**. Lee P, Clements D, Helson E, Gujar S. Reovirus in cancer therapy: an evidence-based review. *Oncolytic Virother* 2014; 3:69-82.

**5**. Chakrabarty R, Tran H, Selvaggi G, Hagerman A, Thompson B, Coffey M. The oncolytic virus, pelareorep, as a novel anticancer agent: a review. *Invest New Drugs* 2015; 33:761-74.

**6**. Mohamed A, Johnston R, Shmulevitz M. Potential for improving potency and specificity of reovirus oncolysis with next-generation reovirus variants. *Viruses* 2015;7:6251-78.

7. Kemp V, Hoeben R, van den Wollenberg D. Exploring reovirus plasticity for improving its use as oncolytic virus. *Viruses* 2016; 8:4-16.

**8**. Lanoie D, Côté S, Degeorges E, Lemay G. A single mutation in the mammalian orthoreovirus S1 gene is responsible for increased interferon sensitivity in a virus mutant selected in Vero cells. *Virology* 2019; 528: 73-9.

**9**. Sandekian V, Lemay G. Amino acids substitutions in  $\sigma 1$  and  $\mu 1$  outer capsid protein of a Vero cell-adapted mammalian orthoreovirus are required for optimal virus binding and disassembly. *Virus Res* 2015; 196:20-9.

**10**. Dermody TS, Parker JSL, Sherry B. Orthoreoviruses. In : Knipe DM, Howley PM, eds. *Field's Virology*. Philadelphia : Lippincot, Williams and Wilkins, 2013.

11. Lemay G. Synthesis and translation of viral mRNA in reovirus-infected cells: progress and remaining questions. *Viruses* 2018; 10:671-25.

**12**. Danthi P, Guglielmi KM, Kirchner E, Mainou B, Stehle T, Dermody TS. From touchdown to transcription: the reovirus cell entry pathway. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2010; 343:91-119.

**13**. Sutherland DM, Aravamudhan P, Dermody TS. An orchestra of reovirus receptors: still searching for the conductor. *Adv Virus Res* 2018; 100:1-24.

**14**. Golden JW, Linke J, Schmechel S, Thoemke K, Schiff LA. Addition of exogenous protease facilitates reovirus infection in many restrictive cells. *J Virol* 2002; 76:7430-43.

**15**. Alain T, Kim TS, Lun X, *et al.* Proteolytic disassembly is a critical determinant for reovirus oncolysis. *Mol Ther* 2007; 15:1512-21.

**16**. Nygaard RM, Lahti L, Boehme KW, *et al.* Genetic determinants of reovirus pathogenesis in a murine model of respiratory infection. *J Virol* 2013; 87:9279-89.

17. Wetzel JD, Chappell JD, Fogo AB, Dermody TS. Efficiency of viral entry determines the capacity of murine erythroleukemia cells to support persistent infections by mammalian reoviruses. *J Virol* 1997; 71: 299-306.

**18**. Marcato P, Shmulevitz M, Pan D, Stoltz D, Lee PW. Ras transformation mediates reovirus oncolysis by enhancing virus uncoating, particle infectivity, and apoptosis-dependent release. *Mol Ther* 2007; 15: 1522-30.

**19**. Olland AM, Jané-Valbuena J, Schiff LA, Nibert ML, Harrison SC. Structure of the reovirus outer capsid and dsRNA-binding protein  $\sigma$ 3 at 1.8 Å resolution. *EMBO J* 2001; 20:979-89.

**20**. Liemann S, Chandran K, Baker TS, Nibert ML, Harrison SC. Structure of the reovirus membrane-penetration protein,  $\mu 1$ , in a complex with is protector protein,  $\sigma 3$ . *Cell* 2002; 108:283-95.

**21**. Zhang X, Ji Y, Zhang L, *et al.* Features of reovirus outer capsid protein  $\mu$ 1 revealed by electron cryomicroscopy and image reconstruction of the virion at 7.0 Å resolution. *Structure* 2005; 13: 1545-57.

**22**. Sandekian V, Lim D, Prud'homme P, Lemay G. Transient high level mammalian reovirus replication in a bat epithelial cell line occurs without cytopathic effect. *Virus Res* 2013; 173: 327-35.

**23**. Montgomery LB, Kao CY, Verdin E, Cahill C, Maratos-Flier E. Infection of a polarized epithelial cell line with wild-type reovirus leads to virus persistence and altered cellular function. *J Gen Virol* 1991;72:2939-46.

**24**. Verdin EM, Maratos-Flier E, Carpentier JL, Kahn CR. Persistent infection with a nontransforming RNA virus leads to impaired growth factor receptors and response. *J Cell Physiol* 1986; 128:457-65.

**25**. Strong JE, Coffey MC, Tang D, Sabinin P, Lee PW. The molecular basis of viral oncolysis: usurpation of the Ras signaling pathway by reovirus. *EMBO J* 1998; 17: 3351-62.

**26**. Rudd P, Lemay G. Correlation between interferon sensitivity of reovirus isolates and ability to discriminate between normal and Rastransformed cells. *J Gen Virol* 2005; 86:1489-97.

**27**. Dermody TS. Molecular mechanisms of persistent infection by reovirus. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 1998; 233: 1-22.

**28**. Ahmed R, Canning WM, Kauffman RS, Sharpe AH, Hallum JV, Fields BN. Role of the host cell in persistent viral infection: coevolution of L cells and reovirus during persistent infection. *Cell* 1981; 25: 325-32.

**29**. Baer GS, Ebert DH, Chung CJ, Erickson AH, Dermody TS. Mutant cells selected during persistent reovirus infection do not express mature cathepsin L and do not support reovirus disassembly. *J Virol* 1999; 73:9532-43.

**30**. Ebert DH, Kopecky-Bromberg SA, Dermody TS. Cathepsin B is inhibited in mutant cells selected during persistent reovirus infection. *J Biol Chem* 2004; 279: 3837-51.

**31**. Ahmed R, Fields BN. Role of the S4 gene in the establishment of persistent reovirus infection in L cells. *Cell* 1982;28:605-12.

**32**. Kauffman RS, Ahmed R, Fields BN. Selection of a mutant S1 gene during reovirus persistent infection of L cells: role in maintenance of the persistent state. *Virology* 1983; 131:79-87.

**33**. Baer GS, Dermody TS. Mutations in reovirus outer-capsid protein  $\sigma$ 3 selected during persistent infections of L cells confer resistance to protease inhibitor E64. *J Virol* 1997; 71:4921-8.

**34**. Wetzel JD, Wilson GJ, Baer GS, *et al*. Reovirus variants selected during persistent infections of L cells contain mutations in the viral S1 and S4 genes and are altered in viral disassembly. *J Virol* 1997;71:1362-9.

**35**. Ebert DH, Wetzel JD, Brumbaugh DE, *et al.* Adaptation of reovirus to growth in the presence of protease inhibitor E64 segregates with a mutation in the carboxy terminus of viral outer-capsid protein  $\sigma$ 3. *J Virol* 2001; 75: 3197-206.

**36.** Wilson GJ, Nason EL, Hardy CS, *et al.* A single mutation in the carboxy terminus of reovirus outer-capsid protein  $\sigma$ 3 confers enhanced kinetics of  $\sigma$ 3 proteolysis, resistance to inhibitors of viral disassembly, and alterations in  $\sigma$ 3 structure. *J Virol* 2002; 76:9832-43.

**37**. Clark KM, Wetzel JD, Gu Y, *et al.* Reovirus variants selected for resistance to ammonium chloride have mutations in viral outer-capsid protein  $\sigma$ 3. *J Virol* 2006; 80:671-81.

**38**. Doyle JD, Danthi P, Kendall EA, Ooms LS, Wetzel JD, Dermody TS. Molecular determinants of proteolytic disassembly of the reovirus outer capsid. *J Biol Chem* 2012; 287:8029-38.

**39**. Schiff LA. Structure and functions of the orthoreovirus  $\sigma 3$  protein. In : Patton JT, ed. *Segmented double-stranded RNA viruses: structure and molecular biology*. Bethesda : Caister Academic Press, 2008.

**40**. Doyle JD, Stencel-Baerenwald JE, Copeland CA, *et al.* Diminished reovirus capsid stability alters disease pathogenesis and littermate transmission. *PLoS Pathog* 2015; 11:e1004693-24.

**41**. Wilson GJ, Wetzel JD, Puryear W, Bassel-Duby R, Dermody TS. Persistent reovirus infections of L cells select mutations in viral attachment protein  $\sigma$ 1 that alter oligomer stability. *J Virol* 1996;70: 6598-606.

**42**. Dietrich MH, Ogden KM, Long JM, *et al.* Structural and functional features of the reovirus  $\sigma$ 1 tail. *J Virol* 2018;92:e00336-18.

**43**. Reiter DM, Frierson JM, Halvorson EE, Kobayashi T, Dermody TS, Stehle T. Crystal structure of reovirus attachment protein  $\sigma$ 1 in complex with sialylated oligosaccharides. *PLoS Pathog* 2011; 7:e1002166.

**44**. Chew T, Noyce R, Collins SE, Hancock MH, Mossman KL. Characterization of the interferon regulatory factor 3-mediated antiviral response in a cell line deficient for IFN production. *Mol Immunol* 2009;46: 393-9.

**45**. Desmyter J, Melnick JL, Rawls WE. Defectiveness of interferon production and of rubella virus interference in a line of African green monkey kidney cells (Vero). *J Virol* 1968; 2:955-61.

**46**. Emeny JM, Morgan MJ. Regulation of the interferon system – evidence that Vero cells have a genetic defect in interferon production. *J Gen Virol* 1979; 43: 247-52.

**47**. Park S, Choi J, Kang J, *et al.* Attenuated expression of interferoninduced protein kinase PKR in a simian cell devoid of type I interferons. *Mol Cells* 2006; 21:21-8.

**48**. Jabre R, Sandekian V, Lemay G. Amino acid substitutions in  $\sigma 1$  and  $\mu 1$  outer capsid proteins are selected during mammalian reovirus adaptation to Vero cells. *Virus Res* 2013; 176:188-98.

**49**. Lanoie D, Lemay G. Characterization of the different reovirus proteins involved in viral adaptation to Vero cells during viral persistence. *In preparation.* 

**50**. Sarkar P, Danthi P. The  $\mu$ 1 72-96 loop controls conformational transitions during reovirus cell entry. *J Virol* 2013; 87:13532-42.

**51**. Snyder AJ, Danthi P. Cleavage of the C-terminal fragment of reovirus  $\mu 1$  is required for optimal infectivity. *J Virol* 2018; 92: e01848-17.

**52**. Thete D, Snyder AJ, Mainou BA, Danthi P. Reovirus  $\mu 1$  protein affects infectivity by altering virus-receptor interactions. *J Virol* 2016; 90: 10951-62.

**53**. Thete D, Danthi P. Protein mismatches caused by reassortment influence functions of the reovirus capsid. *J Virol* 2018;92:e00858-18.

**54**. Derrien M, Hooper JW, Fields BN. The M2 gene segment is involved in the capacity of reovirus type 3 Abney to induce the oily fur syndrome in neonatal mice, a S1 gene segment-associated phenotype. *Virology* 2003; 305: 25-30.

**55**. Tyler KL, Squier MKT, Brown AL, *et al*. Linkage between reovirusinduced apoptosis and inhibition of cellular DNA synthesis: role of the S1 and M2 genes. *J Virol* 1996; 70: 7984-91.

**56.** Hrdy DB, Rubin DH, Fields BN. Molecular basis of reovirus neurovirulence: role of the M2 gene in avirulence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1982; 79: 1298-302.

**57**. Clarke P, Meintzer SM, Widmann C, Johnson GL, Tyler KL. Reovirus infection activates JNK and the JNK-dependent transcription factor c-Jun. *J Virol* 2001; 75: 11275-83.

**58**. Rodgers SE, Barton ES, Oberhaus SM, *et al*. Reovirus-induced apoptosis of MDCK cells is not linked to viral yield and is blocked by Bcl-2. *J Virol* 1997; 71: 2540-6.

**59**. Danis C, Lemay G. Protein synthesis in different cell lines infected with orthoreovirus serotype 3: inhibition of host-cell protein synthesis correlates with accelerated viral multiplication and cell killing. *Biochem Cell* 1993;71:81-5.

**60**. Alain T, Kim M, Johnston RN, *et al*. The oncolytic effect in vivo of reovirus on tumour cells that have survived reovirus cell killing in vitro. *Br J Cancer* 2006;95:1020-7.

**61.** Kim M, Egan C, Alain T, *et al.* Acquired resistance to reoviral oncolysis in Ras-transformed fibrosarcoma cells. *Oncogene* 2007;26: 4124-34.

**62.** Kim M, Garant KA, zur Nieden NI, *et al.* Attenuated reovirus displays oncolysis with reduced host toxicity. *Br J Cancer* 2011;104: 290-9.

**63**. Taber R, Alexander V, Whitford W. Persistent reovirus infection of CHO cells resulting in virus resistance. *J Virol* 1976; 17:513-24.

**64**. Barton ES, Forrest JC, Connolly JL, *et al*. Junction adhesion molecule is a receptor for reovirus. *Cell* 2001; 104:441-51.

**65**. Konopka-Anstadt JL, Mainou BA, Sutherland DM, Sekine Y, Strittmatter SM, Dermody TS. The Nogo receptor NgR1 mediates infection by mammalian reovirus. *Cell Host Microbe* 2014;15: 681-91.

**66**. Danis C, Mabrouk T, Garzon S, Lemay G. Establishment of persistent reovirus infection in SC1 cells: absence of protein synthesis inhibition and increased level of double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase. *Virus Res* **1993**; 27: 253-65.

**67**. Danis C, Mabrouk T, Faure M, Lemay G. Interferon has no protective effect during acute or persistent reovirus infection of mouse SC1 fibroblasts. *Virus Res* 1997; 51: 139-49.

**68**. Ahmed R, Graham AF. Persistent infections in L cells with temperature-sensitive mutants of reovirus. *J Virol* 1977; 23: 250-62.

**69**. Dermody TS, Nibert ML, Wetzel JD, Tong X, Fields BN. Cells and viruses with mutations affecting viral entry are selected during persistent infections of L cells with mammalian reoviruses. *J Virol* 1993;67: 2055-63.

**70**. Canning WM, Fields BN. Ammonium chloride prevents lytic growth of reovirus and helps to establish persistent infection in mouse L cells. *Science* 1983;219:987-8.

**71**. Brown EG, Nibert ML, Fields BN. The L2 gene of reovirus serotype 3 controls the capacity to interfere, accumulate deletions and establish persistent infection. In : Compans RW, Bishop DHL, eds. *Double-stranded RNA viruses*. New York : Elsevier Biomedical, 1983.

**72**. Fernandez de Castro I, Zamora PF, Ooms L, *et al*. Reovirus forms neo-organelles for progeny particle assembly within reorganized cell membranes. *mBio* 2013; 5:e00931-13.

**73**. Bird SW, Maynard N D, Covert M W, Kirkegaard K. Nonlytic viral spread enhanced by autophagy components. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014; 111: 13081-6.

74. Bird SW, Kirkegaard K. Escape of non-enveloped virus from intact cells. *Virology* 2015; 479-480: 444-9.

**75**. Jackson WT. Viruses and the autophagy pathway. *Virology* 2015; 479-480: 450-6.

**76**. Münz C. Autophagy proteins in viral exocytosis and anti-viral immune responses. *Viruses* 2017;9:288-310.

77. Münz C. The autophagic machinery in viral exocytosis. *Front Microbiol* 2017; 8:461-8.

**78**. Kemp V, Dautzenberg I, Limpens R, van den Wollenberg D, Hoeben R. Oncolytic reovirus infection is facilitated by the autophagic machinery. *Viruses* 2017;9:266-15.

**79**. Thirukkumaran CM, Shi ZQ, Luider J, *et al*. Reovirus modulates autophagy during oncolysis of multiple myeloma. *Autophagy* 2013;9:413-4.

**80**. Talloczy Z, Jiang WX, Virgin HW, *et al.* Regulation of starvation- and virus-induced autophagy by the eIF2 $\alpha$  kinase signaling pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002; 99:190-5.

**81**. Matsuzaki N, Hinshaw VS, Fields BN, Greene MI. Cell receptors for the mammalian reovirus: reovirus-specific T-cell hybridomas can become persistently infected and undergo autoimmune stimulation. *J Virol* 1986; 60:259-66.

**82**. Dermody TS, Chappell JD, Hofler JG, Kramp W, Tyler KL. Eradication of persistent reovirus infection from a B-cell hybridoma. *Virology* 1995; 212: 272-6.

**83**. Haller BL, Barkon ML, Li XY, *et al.* Brain- and intestine-specific variants of reovirus serotype 3 strain Dearing are selected during chronic infection of severe combined immunodeficient mice. *J Virol* 1995; 69:3933-7.

**84**. Morrison LA, Fields BN, Dermody TS. Prolonged replication in the mouse central-nervous-system of reoviruses isolated from persistently infected cell-cultures. *J Virol* 1993; 67: 3019-26.

**85**. Brochu-Lafontaine V, Lemay G. Addition of exogenous polypeptides on the mammalian reovirus outer capsid using reverse genetics. *J Virol Methods* 2012;179:342-50.

**86**. Rouault É, Lemay G. Incorporation of epitope-tagged viral  $\sigma$ 3 proteins to reovirus virions. *Can J Microbiol* 2003; 49: 407-17.

**87**. Demidenko AA, Blattman JN, Blattman NN, Greenberg PD, Nibert ML. Engineering recombinant reoviruses with tandem repeats and a tetravirus 2A-like element for exogenous polypeptide expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013; 110:e1867-76.

**88**. Boehme KW, Ikizler M, Iskarpatyoti JA, *et al.* Engineering recombinant reoviruses to display gp41 membrane-proximal external-region epitopes from HIV-1. *mSphere* 2016; 1: e00086-16.

**89**. Shmulevitz M, Gujar S A, Ahn D G, Mohamed A, Lee P W K. Reovirus variants with mutations in genome segments S1 and L2 exhibit enhanced virion infectivity and superior oncolysis. *J Virol* 2012; 86:7403-13.

**90**. van den Wollenberg DJM, Dautzenberg IJC, van den Hengel SK, Cramer SJ, de Groot RJ, Hoeben RC. Isolation of reovirus T3D mutants capable of infecting human tumor cells independent of junction adhesion molecule-A. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e48064.