Is benign myoclonic epilepsy 
of infancy truly idiopathic 
and generalized?
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ABSTRACT – Benign myoclonic epilepsy of infancy is recognized as a generalized and idiopathic epilepsy by the International League Against Epilepsy. Unprovoked and reflex seizures have been reported in these patients. We describe a child diagnosed with benign myoclonic epilepsy of infancy, whose strictly unilateral and localized reflex myoclonias broaden the clinical spectrum of this idiopathic and generalized epileptic syndrome, and raise interrogations about its underlying pathophysiological mechanisms. [Published with video sequences]
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Benign myoclonic epilepsy of infancy (BMEI) is a recognized epileptic syndrome characterized by myoclonic seizures that appear from four months to three years in previously healthy children. The interictal EEG is normal. The myoclonias correlate with 3-4 Hz generalized spike-and-waves (Dravet and Bureau 1981). Reflex seizures are occasionally observed; their frequency is probably underestimated (Dravet and Bureau 2005, Auvin et al. 2006). These might be triggered by sudden unexpected tactile, visual or auditory stimuli (Ricci et al. 1995, Dravet and Bureau 2005, Darra et al. 2006, Capovilla et al. 2007). The International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) has considered this syndrome as generalized and idiopathic (ILAE 1989). We here describe the case of an infant with BMEI and myoclonias related to unilateral sensory stimulation, which raise questions about the mechanisms implicated in idiopathic generalized epileptic syndromes.

Case study

This 11 month-old girl was examined at our outpatient clinic for myoclonias of her upper limbs that were observed since the age of eight months by her parents. Family history was unremarkable. Pregnancy and birth were normal, and she had been healthy until then. The myoclonias were always...
bilateral and symmetric, according to the parents’ thorough observations. They occurred on a daily basis, either spontaneously, or were elicited by sudden unexpected tapping of the palm of her right hand only. Careful clinical examination confirmed these observations. Similar unexpected provoking maneuvers on the left hand, nose or forehead tapping, auditory or visual stimuli, never elicited jerks. If the right-hand tapping maneuver was repeated several times, the myoclonias disappeared.

An EEG was obtained on her first outpatient visit, at 11 months. Twenty-three electrodes, including reference and ground, were used and placed according to the rules of the 10-20 international system. Her background interictal trace was unremarkable. In particular, no asymmetries or focal abnormalities were observed. Spontaneous jerks were recorded and correlated with time-locked 3-4 Hz generalized spike-and-wave discharges (see video sequence “spontaneous jerk”). Myoclonias of the upper limbs provoked by right-hand tapping, occasionally accompanied by a discrete head nod, were also observed, and correlated with the same electrographic features (figure 1, see video sequence “jerk right hand”). Additional provoking maneuvers, such as unexpected loud noises, nose tapping, or sudden touch of any other body part did not elicit any myoclonias (see video sequence “full testing”) or electrographic changes. There was no photosensitivity. Cerebral MRI at 16 months was normal.

Somatosensory evoked potentials (SEP) induced by tactile stimulation were performed at 26 months at our brain mapping laboratory. Tactile stimulation was achieved by using fingerclips with balloon diaphragms, placed at the level of the distal phalange of the thumb, producing non painful tap-like stimuli driven by compressed air (Mertens and Lütkenhöner, 2000). SEP were recorded using a fast application 128 high resolution EEG system (Electrical Geodesics Inc.). Evoked potential (EP) responses showed similar latencies, amplitudes and topographies for both stimulation conditions (figure 2). No significant asymmetry between right and left stimulation was observed.

Because the frequency of unprovoked myoclonias occasionally increased to 20 episodes per day, valproic acid treatment was introduced. Three days after treatment initiation, at a dosage of 10 mg/kg/day, spontaneous and provoked myoclonias completely disappeared. The girl learned to walk at 12 months, and pronounced approximately 10 words at 16 months. Her neurodevelopmental examination remained normal throughout follow-up, up to the age of 28 months, at the time of her last visit.

Discussion

The clinical presentation of this patient is consistent with the diagnosis of BMEI. Reflex seizures have been described in many cases of BMEI, but up to now it is felt that the distinction between a “standard” form from a “reflex” form is not necessary (Dravet and Bureau 2005, Auvin et al. 2006). In particular, Auvin et al. (2006) did not observe any significant difference in the electrophysiological findings of the patients with or without reflex myoclonias.

Ricci et al. described generalized reflex myoclonias in their six patients, provoked by auditory or various tactile stimuli, such as touching of the face and the limbs, without further precision (Ricci et al. 1995). Deonna described in details the clinical presentation of 5 patients with reflex myoclonias, but did not provide EEG traces to illustrate the electrographic features of the seizures. The electrographic features described by Auvin et al. and Ricci et al. of provoked reflex myoclonias are similar to the characteristic 3-4 Hz generalized spike-and-wave discharges found in our patient during the EEG performed at 11 months of age.

Figure 1. Ictal EEG, awake, 10 seconds/page. Myoclonias of the upper limbs provoked by right-hand tapping, correlated with time-locked generalized spike-and-wave discharges.
myoclonic seizures elicited by touch. In one of them, myoclonias were provoked when his mouth was suddenly touched but lateralized triggers were not reported in these children (Deonna 1998). Kurian and King (2003) described a one year-old boy with BMEI and reflex upper limb myoclonus provoked only by head banging or tapping of the top of his head, but stimulus lateralization was not precisely reported. More recently, Darra et al. (2006) reported similar reflex myoclonias in BMEI patients, but detailed information about provoking factors was missing. Interestingly, the patients in this series frequently exhibited asymmetric jerks, and focal or asymmetric ictal or interictal EEG features. Finally, Auvin et al. (2006) recently reported reflex myoclonias in 32% of their 34 BMEI patients, but details about the nature of the tactile stimuli applied were lacking. To our knowledge, localized and strictly unilateral triggers such as those observed in our patient have not been reported in BMEI.

Focal features have been described in various idiopathic generalized epileptic syndromes (Ferrie 2005). For instance, myoclonias are frequently asymmetric or unilateral in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy patients, and focal electroencephalographic abnormalities are occasionally

Figure 2. SEP waveforms and topographic maps. A) Right thumb tactile stimulation (reference electrode, Fz). B) Left thumb tactile stimulation (reference electrode, Fz). C, D) Original potentials were recorded from 128 surface electrodes during right (C) and left (D) tactile stimulation at the thumb distal phalanges. E, F) The most representative waveforms are plotted for the right (E) and left (F) stimulation. Potential maps are determined for the first stable SEP component, peaking at around 50 ms (right: 64 ms; left: 56 ms), characterized by bipolar fields showing a fronto-central positivity and contralateral (to the stimulation) parietal negativity with nearly mirrored configurations for right (E) vs left (F) stimulation.
observed in childhood absence epilepsy patients (Caraballo et al. 2008). These findings, along with those of our patient, raise questions about the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms implicated in BMEI, and more particularly in reflex myoclonias. In the present case, it seems legitimate to hypothesize the existence of a focal dysfunction localized along the afferent sensorial pathway, up to the contralateral parietal cortex. In our patient, this disorder would be purely functional, as demonstrated by the normal radiological and neurophysiological exams. In a recent review on cortical triggers in generalized seizures and epilepsies, it is proposed that patients with BMEI and reflex seizures exhibit genetically determined hyperexcitability of the sensorimotor cortex and an abnormal startle response. Cortico-recticular or cortico-cortical networks may be involved, and in certain situations, generalized responses are elicited via instantaneous signal propagation from a localized zone to bilateral motor regions (Ferlazzo et al. 2005). Moreover, some of these sensory cortical regions may be more excitable than others. These interesting hypotheses remain to be demonstrated formally, however. Finally, a group of experts working on idiopathic generalized epilepsies recently stated about generalized seizures that “available data support the idea of a trigger zone within a given thalamocortical system that has a particular genetically determined epileptogenic susceptibility” (Bertram et al. 2008). These authors propose the concept of “system epilepsies” in replacement of the terms idiopathic and generalized.

Our case underlines the need to further describe and report BMEI patients with reflex myoclonias. Additional descriptions of reflex seizures, particularly if focal abnormalities are observed, may add valuable data in the understanding of the basic mechanisms that underlie the seizure generation in idiopathic generalized epilepsies. In that perspective, further investigations, such as evoked potentials, magnetoencephalography or functional MRI, might be helpful in the work-up of such patients.
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