Refractory and lethal status epilepticus in a patient with ring chromosome 20 syndrome
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ABSTRACT – Purpose. The only consistent symptom of ring chromosome 20 syndrome (r(20)) is severe, refractory epilepsy often associated with a characteristic, although not pathognomonic, EEG pattern. Patients suffer from severe seizures with accompanying cognitive decline and frequent episodes of non-convulsive status epilepticus (SE). Other features of this rare disorder, such as dysmorphic changes, mental retardation and behavioral disturbances are variable. Because of the variability of the clinical presentation, some patients with r(20) undergo invasive investigations before being diagnosed. Case study. We present the case of a young boy with no dysmorphic traits, who was only diagnosed with r(20) syndrome at the age of 13. His first seizure occurred at the age of four. Later seizures were of various types including non-convulsive SE, with deterioration of the background EEG and severe cognitive decline. Despite multiple trials of anti-epileptic medications, his seizures remained highly refractory, and he died as the result of an uncontrollable, prolonged SE, shortly after the diagnosis was made. Discussion. Non-convulsive SE is common in patients with r(20) syndrome and may be caused by a dysfunction in dopaminergic neurotransmission. However, until now, no case of lethal status epilepticus has been reported. This case report suggests that patients with unexplained refractory seizures and episodes of non-convulsive SE should undergo genetic testing early in their disease, even in the absence of any morphologic features or dysmorphic traits suggestive of a chromosomal disease. [Published with video sequences]
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Seizures started at age four. From very early, his parents noted prolonged and frequent, atypical absences with decreased responsiveness, and discrete facial or manual automatisms. Soon after, generalized tonic-clonic seizures occurred. Initial EEGs showed normal background activity with occasional diffuse slow waves and spike-and-slow waves activity, predominating over the frontal regions. MRI studies were normal, Valproic acid temporarily reduced seizure frequency, but he continued to have 10 to 20 attacks a month. He then rapidly developed additional multiple seizure types including focal motor attacks, diurnal and nocturnal hypermotor seizures and focal seizures with automatisms suggesting temporal involvement. All available AEDs were used, in several combinations, without success; neither steroids nor the ketogenic diet improved his condition.

The patient was referred to the Montreal Neurological Institute when he was nine years of age. The etiology of his difficult-to-treat epilepsy was unclear. He was slightly obese, with a short attention span and slow reactions. The ears were large and cauliflower-shaped. No other dysmorphic or cutaneous features were present. He had a slight, left hemiparesis and appeared clumsy, with reduced fine motor skills. Muscle strength, gross motor skills and cranial nerve function were normal. The parents had noted progressive cognitive decline in their son confirmed by neuropsychological retesting, showing a clearly below average IQ (# 71). Behavioral problems were usually controlled with methylphenidate, but occasional aggressive outbursts persisted.

The patient experienced up to 30 seizures a day there being four recognizable types: 1) prolonged atypical absences; 2) tonic posturing of the arms, extension of the neck and head turning to the left; 3) nocturnal hypermotor seizures with agitation (see video sequence); and 4) focal seizures with terror, visual hallucinations and impaired consciousness. Intertictal EEGs had deteriorated and there were marked background changes, with generalized rhythmic slow waves predominantly over frontal areas, and very active widespread spike-and-slow wave activity, more prominent over the right hemisphere (figure 1). The most common ictal EEG changes were observed during his prolonged absences and consisted of a generalized suppression, followed by 2-3Hz rhythmic slow waves and then by a 1Hz spike and slow wave activity seen over both hemispheres, but again more prominent on the right.

Repeated MRI studies suggested a slight atrophy of the right hemisphere, with an area suspicious for cortical dysplasia over the right temporo-occipital region. An interictal FDG-PET study showed diffuse hypometabolism over the right hemisphere and an ictal SPECT revealed tracer enhancement over the right temporo-parietal area. Because of the unusually refractory and progressively catastrophic picture, intracerebral SEEG investigation was undertaken exploring the right parietal, occipital and temporal areas. The intracranial investigation confirmed
extensive epileptogenesis, with epileptic discharges seen over the brain areas explored and some accentuation over the right temporal neocortex.

Before surgical intervention could be considered, the patient developed an intractable and irreversible non-convulsive SE, refractory to intravenous treatments with propofol, phenobarbital and midazolam, and induction of a coma with thiopental and pentobarbital. Before the induction of a deep coma, the EEG showed continuous generalized 2.5Hz spike and wave activity maximum over frontal regions (figure 2). After several weeks of coma, and persistent relapse of continuous epileptic activity, the decision was taken, with the parents, to withhold all extraordinary therapeutic measures. The patient soon died of cardiovascular collapse. During his last hospitalization, blood was drawn for additional genetic and metabolic testing. Karyotyping revealed a 46 XY, r(20). Forty-four lymphocytes were analyzed and the chromosomal abnormality was found in all cells. The autopsy revealed no specific brain changes.

**Discussion**

We report a patient with sporadic r(20) syndrome who presented with very severe and eventually lethal epilepto-genic encephalopathy. Catastrophic epilepsy, in addition to the slight EEG asymmetry suggesting a possible right hemispheric focus, the suspicious area on MRI in the same region and the results of SPECT studies, led to an SEEG investigation. Later, cytogenetic studies revealed that 100% of the lymphocytes tested carried a ring chromosome. Until now, no fatal SE has been described in patients
with r(20). We want to stress the importance of early cytogenetic testing when patients present with unexplained refractory seizures and repetitive non-convulsive SE, even in the absence of any morphologic features suggestive of a chromosomal disorder.

While our patient showed chromosomal changes in all of the lymphocytes analyzed, in many patients only a mosaic is seen. Higher levels of mosaicism correlate with a lower age of seizure-onset, more severe cognitive impairment and usually, more prominent dysmorphic features (Nishiwaki et al. 2005, Herrgard et al. 2007). The non-mosaic condition of our patient may explain the severity and lethal outcome of the disease in our patient. Behavioral problems are typically found and can precede the actual seizure disorder (Ville et al. 2006). In many patients, specific treatment has been less effective than in our patient, whose behavior, in spite of the severity of his seizure disorder, improved with methylphenidate (Alpman et al. 2005).

Patients with r(20) usually start having seizures between the age of three and five, but some experience early neonatal onset, and in others, seizures start only in their twenties (Ville et al. 2006, Herrgard et al. 2007). Typically, they have repetitive and prolonged episodes of non-convulsive SE (Garcia-Cruz et al. 2000, Inoue et al. 1997), with tonic and hypomotor seizures, drop attacks and generalized tonic-clonic seizures being frequently observed. Our patient also had focal seizures with intense terror and visual hallucinations, which have been described in other patients and often misinterpreted as psychogenic or behavioral changes (Alpman et al. 2005, Ville et al. 2006). Finally, many “subtle nocturnal seizures” can

Figure 2. Variable EEG patterns during non-convulsive status epilepticus. Despite intravenous medication with propofol, phenobarbital, midazolam, and induction of coma with thiopental and pentobarbital, the SE could not be controlled.
occur and are accompanied by bursts of high voltage fast activity followed by bi-frontal high voltage slow waves as observed in our patient (Augustijn et al. 2001). Seizures are usually highly refractory to medication and accompanied by a progressive cognitive decline. It remains unclear whether the observed progression of the disease, which in our patient included cognitive decline, behavioral problems and seizures, is a result of the refractory epilepsy or a direct symptom of the chromosomal disease. On the other hand, many patients develop normally until the onset of epilepsy and some are affected only very slightly by the disease (Viersbach et al. 1997, Nishiwaki et al. 2005). In our patient, the progression of the clinical symptoms was directly reflected by the EEG changes; the patient’s development, disease, and outcome were majorly determined by the progression of the epilepsy. Nevertheless, given his non-mosaic state, it is likely that the severe progression of the disease was also linked to the genotype of the syndrome.

The diagnosis of r(20) syndrome can be complicated not only by the lack of a clear phenotype, but also by clinical findings that suggest focal epilepsy. Focal and lateralizing features and psychomotor development delay, are highly correlated with the ratio of mosaicism. On the other hand, many patients develop normally until the onset of epilepsy and some are affected only very slightly by the disease (Viersbach et al. 1997, Nishiwaki et al. 2005). In our patient, the progression of the clinical symptoms was directly reflected by the EEG changes; the patient’s development, disease, and outcome were majorly determined by the progression of the epilepsy. Nevertheless, given his non-mosaic state, it is likely that the severe progression of the disease was also linked to the genotype of the syndrome.

The diagnosis of r(20) syndrome can be complicated not only by the lack of a clear phenotype, but also by clinical findings that suggest focal epilepsy. Focal and lateralizing features and psychomotor development delay, are highly correlated with the ratio of mosaicism. On the other hand, many patients develop normally until the onset of epilepsy and some are affected only very slightly by the disease (Viersbach et al. 1997, Nishiwaki et al. 2005). In our patient, the progression of the clinical symptoms was directly reflected by the EEG changes; the patient’s development, disease, and outcome were majorly determined by the progression of the epilepsy. Nevertheless, given his non-mosaic state, it is likely that the severe progression of the disease was also linked to the genotype of the syndrome.

The diagnosis of r(20) syndrome can be complicated not only by the lack of a clear phenotype, but also by clinical findings that suggest focal epilepsy. Focal and lateralizing features and psychomotor development delay, are highly correlated with the ratio of mosaicism. On the other hand, many patients develop normally until the onset of epilepsy and some are affected only very slightly by the disease (Viersbach et al. 1997, Nishiwaki et al. 2005). In our patient, the progression of the clinical symptoms was directly reflected by the EEG changes; the patient’s development, disease, and outcome were majorly determined by the progression of the epilepsy. Nevertheless, given his non-mosaic state, it is likely that the severe progression of the disease was also linked to the genotype of the syndrome.

This case report raises three important questions: i) what is the mechanism of the recurrent, non-convulsive SE; ii) how should these patients with r(20) be treated; and iii) should patients with epilepsy undergo chromosomal studies even if they show no dysmorphic features.

Non-convulsive SE has frequently been described in patients with r(20), although, to our knowledge, no fatal SE has been described thus far. Moreover, the pathophysiology of this catastrophic epilepsy syndrome is not well understood. Some authors have suggested that it could be a channelopathy. In fact, for the formation of the ring chromosome, the two telomeric regions of the chromosome must fuse and this could lead to loss of genetic material. Two channels known to cause epilepsy syndromes are located in these regions. The first is the gene encoding for one subunit of a potassium channel, known to cause “autosomal dominant nocturnal frontal lobe epilepsy”. Some authors hypothesized that the epilepsy mechanisms in r(20) might be similar to those in familial frontal lobe epilepsy (Steinlein et al. 1994, Phillips et al. 1995). Yet the epilepsy observed in r(20) is much more severe and intractable, and patients often show a protracted course. However, another gene encoding for one subunit of a potassium channel is also located in this critical and possibly deleted chromosomal region. This gene is mutated in benign familial neonatal epilepsy. Again, this mechanism by itself cannot explain the intractability of the r(20) syndrome. However, it may be hypothesized that the deletion of these two genes, already known to cause epilepsy syndromes, possibly in combination with the deletion of other important genes, may explain the pathophysiology of this poorly understood epilepsy syndrome.

Another hypothesis suggests a dysfunction in dopaminergic neurotransmission in these patients (Biraben et al. 2004). PET studies using [18F]fluoro-L-dopa showed that the dopaminergic uptake in the putamen and caudate nuclei is reduced in patients with r(20), and it has been proposed that this dysfunction impairs the mechanism of seizure interruption. If this mechanism explains the impaired seizure interruption in r(20) syndrome, treatment with dopaminergic agonists could improve the course of the epilepsy and possibly prevent an outcome such as that described in our case.

The lack of clear dysmorphic features in our patient explains why early chromosome studies had not been suggested. Even in those patients with r(20) and a dysmorphic trait, the changes tend to be non-specific and of a wide variety, such as microcephaly, growth retardation, slanting eyelids and high palate (Garcia et al. 2001, de Falco et al. 2006), and the diagnosis therefore depends on a very high degree of suspicion. As described above, the diagnosis is additionally complicated by findings for MRI, EEG and seizure semiology, which all suggest a focal etiology of the epilepsy. However, some features of the disease such as repetitive, prolonged, non-convulsive SE, the unusual behavioral disturbances and the protracted course of the refractory epilepsy with cognitive decline, might provide clues to the diagnosis. Genetic testing should be performed early in children with these features, even if no dysmorphic trait is present.
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**Legend for video sequence**

The video-EEG sequence shows a clinical seizure of the patient. Symptoms start with agitation, pedaling of the legs and vocalization (“arrête”= “stop”), later manual automatisms. This is followed by a tonic phase with posturing of both arms and legs. Ictal EEG changes consist of bi-frontal spike slow waves and rhythmic slow waves.
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