Management of scars: updated practical guidelines and use of silicones

Hypertrophic scars and keloids resulting from surgery, burns, trauma and infection can be associated with substantial physical and psychological distress. Various non-invasive and invasive options are currently available for the prevention and treatment of these scars. Recently, an international multidisciplinary group of 24 experts on scar management (dermatologists; plastic and reconstructive surgeons; general surgeons; physical medicine, rehabilitation and burns specialists; psychosocial and behavioural researchers; epidemiologists; beauticians) convened to update a set of practical guidelines for the prevention and treatment of hypertrophic and keloid scars on the basis of the latest published clinical evidence on existing scar management options. Silicone-based products such as sheets and gels are recommended as the gold standard, first-line, non-invasive option for both the prevention and treatment of scars. Other general scar preventative measures include avoiding sun exposure, compression therapy, taping and the use of moisturisers. Invasive treatment options include intralesional injections of corticosteroids and/or 5-fluorouracil, cryotherapy, radiotherapy, laser therapy and surgical excision. All of these options may be used alone or as part of combination therapy. Of utmost importance is the regular re-evaluation of patients every four to eight weeks to evaluate whether additional treatment is warranted. The amount of scar management measures that are applied to each wound depends on the patient’s risk of developing a scar and their level of concern about the scar’s appearance. The practical advice presented in the current guidelines should be combined with clinical judgement when deciding on the most appropriate scar management measures for an individual patient.
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S

cars are an undesirable, yet normal outcome of wound healing [1]. Hypertrophic scars usually remain within the border of the original wound and may spontaneously regress over time, whereas keloid scars extend further beyond the wound’s margins and remain elevated [1, 2]. Scars are especially likely to occur after a deep wound which extends through the dermis into the subdermal tissue [3]. They occur with equal frequency in men and women, although injuries in adolescents and young adults generally produce worse scarring compared with those in elderly people [2, 4]. Hypertrophic scars and keloids frequently occur in areas of the body that are subject to stretching tension, such as the deltoid, sternal and suprapubic regions and the lower abdomen. Furthermore, people with pigmented skin are more likely to develop keloids compared to those with white skin [2]. Hypertrophic scars and keloids are not only physically disfiguring and psychologically distressing, but they also can cause significant pain and itching [1, 2].

Dermatologists now have many different treatment options for the prevention and treatment of scars. These include non-invasive treatments such as silicone sheets or gels, tape, compression therapy and physiotherapy, as well as invasive treatments such as intralesional corticosteroid injections, 5-fluorouracil injections, cryotherapy, radiotherapy and laser therapy. These treatments can be used alone or as part of combination therapies. Patients often present to dermatologists once they have a maturing or matured hypertrophic scar or keloid. In many cases, earlier consultation with a dermatologist may be more effective, since scars are often easier to prevent than to treat.

To assist physicians such as dermatologists who are involved in scar management to select the most appropriate treatment for their patients, a set of practical guidelines for the prevention and treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids was recently developed by a multidisciplinary group of 24 experts (including dermatologists; plastic and reconstructive surgeons; general surgeons; physical medicine, rehabilitation and burns specialists; psychosocial and behavioural researchers; epidemiologists; and beauticians) from seven countries [5]. These guidelines were developed using the latest clinical evidence on scar management measures that has been reported since the publication of a previous set of scar management guidelines in 2002 [6]. The aims of the current article are to discuss the key aspects of the current guidelines that are of relevance to
dermatologists involved in scar management and to thoroughly evaluate the latest clinical evidence for the use of silicone therapy on which the recommendations in the guidelines are based.

### Scar management practical guidelines

Practical guidelines for the prevention and treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids developed by an international, multidisciplinary group of experts are shown in figure 1. Most scar management measures can be used for both prevention and treatment. The treating physician should always re-evaluate the patient every four to eight weeks to determine whether or not additional therapeutic options need to be considered [5].

#### Preventive measures

Immediate priorities for scar prevention include rapid wound closure, early debridement of dead tissue, measures to prevent or treat inflammation and infection, and provision of adequate wound dressings to establish a moist wound healing environment [5]. The amount of preventive measures that should be applied to a newly formed wound depends on the individual patient’s risk factors for scar formation (e.g., type and location of wound, age and skin type) and the level of aesthetic concern the patient has about scar formation.

General preventive measures, as recommended in the latest guidelines include: sun protection, the use of moisturising creams and the use of moisture retentive dressings such as silicone gel [5]. Transepidermal water loss is increased in hypertrophic scars and keloids [7]. The subsequent dehydration of keratinocytes may stimulate the production of cytokines, leading to excessive collagen deposition by fibroblasts, which results in scar formation [8]. Moisturisers increase the water (or moisture) content of the skin, whereas silicone-based dressings help to decrease the evaporation of water through the skin and to restore the barrier function of the skin, which can help to reduce scar formation [9]. Other preventive measures include taping, splinting or stretching, and physical treatments such as manual massage, endermology and physiotherapy [5].

#### Treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids

As shown in figure 1, first-line non-invasive treatment options for linear and widespread hypertrophic scars and keloids include silicone-based products such as sheets and gels and compression therapy [5]. Both treatments should be applied only once the wound has closed. Early treatment is essential, particularly for those with widespread hypertrophy from burns, trauma or infection. These patients should be referred to a dermatologist as soon as possible and treated with custom-made pressure garments with silicone inlays [5].

Patients with linear scars and continuing hypertrophy after six months should continue their first-line therapy and should initiate second-line therapy with intrallesional corticosteroids. Triamcinolone acetonide is the most commonly used corticosteroid with the current guidelines recommending a dose of 40 mg/mL every two to four weeks when used as monotherapy, until the scar is flattened [5]. Patients with widespread hypertrophic scars may be treated with corticosteroids at an earlier stage in the maturation of their scar. Intrallesional corticosteroids may be supplemented with 5-fluorouracil in those with refractory scars. Similarly, patients with growing keloids may be treated with intrallesional corticosteroids with or without other agents such as 5-fluorouracil, bleomycin or verapamil, in addition to first-line treatment with silicones and compression therapy.

Surgical scar revision or resurfacing may be offered to patients with hypertrophic scars after 12 months of treatment. Surgery must also be considered earlier for those with functional impairment, e.g., by contracture release. Keloids that have not responded to 12 months of treatment may be surgically excised, but this should be combined with radiotherapy or intrallesional cryotherapy to reduce the high rate of recurrence of these scars [2, 5].

The specific treatment options recommended in these guidelines are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

#### Non-invasive management options

The two principal non-invasive management options recommended in the guidelines for scar prevention and treatment are silicone products and compression therapy. Medical ointments and creams may be useful for reducing scar pruritus and physical therapies may also be valuable as part of overall scar management.

#### Silicone sheets and gels

Silicone-based products for scar management have been available for the past 30 years and are recommended in the current guidelines as the “gold standard” option for the prevention and treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids [5, 10]. Silicones have been manufactured in various forms such as silicone sheets and more recently silicone gels [11].

Silicone sheets have to be worn over the scar for 12–24 hours each day for three to six months [5]. The sheets can be used until they begin to disintegrate but need to be washed daily with mild soap and water to prevent side effects such as rashes and infections. The composition of different silicone sheets varies widely, with some only containing medical grade silicone whereas others contain a combination of silicone and polytetra-fluoroethylene, which provides an internal reinforcement to create thin, durable sheeting and to increase flexibility and breathability. Silicone sheets have variable adhesion properties, with some being self-adhesive whilst others require taping to fix them to the skin. Silicone sheets are not suitable for use on large areas of skin and on mobile body parts such as the joints. Patients may be reluctant to use the sheets on visible areas such as the face and compliance with this treatment is often an issue [10].

Silicone gel is applied to the skin as a thin layer where it dries to form an adherent, transparent, flexible silicone sheet that is impermeable to fluids. Such gels are suitable for use on visible areas such as the face and hands, and their ease of application (twice a day) is associated with increased patient preference and compliance [12].
Figure 1. Practical guidelines for the management of hypertrophic and keloid scars [5].
Mechanism of action

Many explanations for the mechanism of action of silicone products have been proposed. For example, silicones may raise the surface temperature of the skin, which can increase collagenase activity leading to collagen breakdown [13]. Furthermore, a negative static electric field between the silicone product and the skin may cause realignment of collagen, resulting in shrinkage of scars [14, 15]. However, occlusion and hydration of the stratum corneum are now universally accepted as the major mechanisms responsible for the action of silicones [10]. Transepidermal water loss is increased following a full thickness wound and may take over one year to return to pre-wound levels [7]. A high loss of water from the epidermis may lead to dehydration of keratinocytes. These cells may then release cytokines to activate dermal fibroblasts to increase collagen production which can lead to excessive scarring [10].

Studies have demonstrated that silicone sheets decrease evaporation of water from the skin and increase hydration of the stratum corneum [16-18]. The reduction in transepidermal water loss will reduce the stimulation of keratinocytes, which in turn will stop producing cytokines and so dermal fibroblasts will not be activated. Occlusion is also an important component of the mechanism of action of silicone products, with a study showing a greater improvement in scars treated with silicone cream containing 20% silicone oil and occlusive dressing compared with those treated with silicone cream covered with gauze [19].

In vitro research has shown that the production of basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) can be increased by silicone products [20]. An increase in bFGF levels in fibroblasts leads to a reduction in collagen production. Another investigation indicated that silicone sheeting may act by down-regulating the production of the fibrogenic cytokine, transforming growth factor β2, by fibroblasts [21].

Re-evaluation of silicone products: latest clinical evidence

Previous and current guidelines recommend silicone products as safe and effective first-line non-invasive options for the prevention and treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids [5, 6]. The earlier recommendations were based on the results of clinical studies that were published at that time [22-33]. The latest guidelines also take into account the results of many recently published studies, some of which are considered here in more detail.

Several recent studies have confirmed the safety and efficacy of silicone sheeting for scar prevention and treatment [34-36]. For example, Sakuraba et al. showed that silicone sheets placed over wounds two weeks after median sternotomy effectively prevented the formation of keloids over 24 weeks in nine patients [34]. Li-Tsang et al. conducted a randomised clinical trial which showed that silicone sheeting significantly reduced the thickness and improved the pliability (p<0.001) of severe post-traumatic hypertrophic scars in 45 Chinese patients after six months of treatment with non-significant improvements in pain and itchiness [35]. In addition, a recent meta-analysis of 15 studies involving 615 people showed that silicone sheeting reduced the incidence of hypertrophic scarring in high-risk individuals compared with no treatment (response rate: 0.46; 95% confidence interval 0.21-0.98) [37].

Compliance with silicone sheets can be improved through patient education programmes. A study of 25 patients with hypertrophic burn scars showed that patients who received detailed education on the use of silicone sheets had significantly better compliance with their treatment compared with those who received conventional education (p<0.001) and this translated into significantly improved scar outcomes at six months (e.g., pigmentation (p = 0.02), height (p = 0.03) and pliability (p = 0.02)) [38].
Since the publication of a previous set of scar management guidelines in 2002 [6], several clinical studies of new silicone gels have been published which have shown that these gels have at least equivalent efficacy to silicone sheets for scar management and that patients may find the gel formulations easier to use [12, 39]. Chernoff et al. conducted a study in which 30 patients with bilateral scars resulting from laser exfoliation each had one scar treated for 90 days with either silicone gel, silicone gel sheeting or a combination of these products, and the other scar was untreated [12]. The results showed that the silicone gel and combination treatment were associated with improved resolution of scars compared with silicone gel sheeting alone. In addition, the patients rated the silicone gel as being significantly easier to use than the silicone gel sheeting (p < 0.001) [12]. In another study, Karagoz et al. showed that silicone gel was as effective as silicone sheeting at improving scars as assessed with the Vancouver scar scale in a six-month study of 45 post-burn hypertrophic scars. Both of these silicone products were significantly more effective at improving these scars than Contractubex, a topical onion extract containing heparin and allantoin (p < 0.05) [39].

Several other recently conducted studies have confirmed the beneficial effects of silicone gels in the prevention and treatment of scars. Chan et al. conducted a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial which showed that silicone gel was effective in preventing the development of hypertrophic scars after median sternotomy wounds [40]. The study included 50 Asian patients and their wounds were divided into two halves with one half being treated with silicone gel and the other half being treated with a placebo gel for three months. The silicone gel was associated with a significant reduction in scores for scar pigmentation, vascularity, pliability, height, pain and itchiness (p < 0.02) [40]. Signorini et al. showed that the application of silicone gels to recent post-surgical scars was associated with significant improvements in clinical outcomes compared with placebo (e.g., scar quality, p < 0.001 between the treatment groups) [41]. A total of 160 patients were included in this study and only 7% of the silicone gel-treated patients had hypertrophic scars or keloids after four months of treatment, compared with 26% of the placebo-treated patients. All of the patients considered the gel was easy to apply and none reported any side effects [41]. In a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of silicone gel in 23 patients with burn scars, van der Wal et al. showed that the silicone gel significantly improved the roughness of the scars (p = 0.012) and that patients experienced significantly less itching (p = 0.013) during six months of treatment [42]. Another small non-comparative study indicated that silicone gel is effective in reducing scar pigmentation and elevation. In this study of six patients with mature scars, eight weeks of treatment with silicone gel was also associated with a 7.2% decrease in scar collagen and a 3% increase in blood flow in the scar [43].

**Compression therapy**

A growing body of evidence supports the use of compression therapy as a scar management measure. The current guidelines recommend compression therapy for the treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids, in particular after burn injuries. Pressure garments should also be considered as a prophylactic measure in wounds that take more than 14 days to heal spontaneously [5]. Pressure therapy should only be applied once the wound has closed and the patient is able to tolerate the pressure. Additional benefits of pressure therapy include relief of oedema, itchiness and pain [5]. Disadvantages of pressure therapy are the cost of treatment, since pressure garments are usually custom made, and poor patient compliance, since the garments are often uncomfortable and have to be worn for most of the day [44].

Several, but not all, recently published clinical studies on the use of pressure garments for scar treatment have reported beneficial effects. Engrav et al. showed that pressure therapy improved clinical outcomes in 54 patients with moderate to severe scarring. Patients with forearm injuries received normal and low compression on their wounds. The results showed that normal versus low compression resulted in wounds which were significantly softer (difference: -1.7 durometer units; 95% confidence interval -2.8 – -0.6), thinner (difference: -0.65 mm (95% confidence interval -1.2 – -0.13) and had improved clinical appearance [45]. A study conducted by Van den Kerchove et al. showed that garments which deliver a mean pressure of 15 mmHg were associated with significant improvements in scar thickness (p = 0.027) but not erythema (p = 0.64) compared with garments that delivered lower pressures in 60 patients with 76 burn scars [46]. A recent meta-analysis of six studies of pressure garments involving 316 patients also found that this therapy is associated with a significant reduction in scar height (standardised mean difference -0.31; 95% confidence interval -0.63 – 0.0), but did not find any other benefits in terms of composite assessment scores and measures of scar vascularity and colour [47]. Furthermore, a prospective randomised study of 122 patients with burns found that pressure therapy versus no therapy did not affect the median time to wound maturation (266 vs. 273 days, respectively; p = 0.51) or decrease the mean length of hospital stay (27 vs. 25 days, respectively; p > 0.05) [48].

Compression therapy may be used as part of combination therapy with silicones. A study conducted by Li-Tsang et al. showed that this combination therapy was associated with a significant reduction in scar thickness compared with a control group (massage therapy) after only two months of treatment (p < 0.001) [49]. In contrast, the silicone monotherapy was shown to reduce scar pain and pruritus, but not scar thickness. This study included 104 patients with hypertrophic scars, mainly due to burning and scalding injuries [49].

**Medical ointments and creams**

Several medical ointments and creams, such as menthol creams, topical anti-histamines (e.g., doxepin) and topical calcineurin inhibitors, may be useful in the treatment of scar pruritus, despite a lack of supporting evidence from published clinical studies [5, 50]. In addition, some, but not all studies have shown that topically applied imiquimod 5% may reduce the recurrence rate of keloids following surgical excision [51-53].
Physical treatments

Physical treatments for scars include massage therapy and physiotherapy (e.g., splinting and taping) [5]. These treatments should be combined with silicone and pressure therapy when possible. Although massage is anecdotally reported to be beneficial for the treatment of scars, there is only very weak clinical evidence currently available to support its use [54]. Available data suggest that massage therapy may reduce pruritus and pain, and may improve the range of motion and appearance of the scar [54]. In addition, the creams that are used as part of massage therapy may beneficially hydrate the skin. The latest guidelines recommend that the type of massage therapy should be adapted to the stage of scar maturation [5].

Splinting may be applied to scars at an early stage of maturation in body areas that are prone to developing contractures (e.g., neck, elbow, axilla) and may also be combined with silicone therapy to improve outcomes [5, 55]. Taping may reduce hypertrophic scar formation by decreasing tension at the wound’s edges [56].

Invasive management options

Several invasive scar treatments may be used in dermatological practice, including intralesional injections of corticosteroids with or without 5-fluorouracil, cryotherapy, radiotherapy, laser therapy and botulinum toxin A.

Corticosteroid injections

Corticosteroid injections (e.g., triamcinolone acetonide) can be used to treat hypertrophic scars and keloids as monotherapy or in combination with other therapies. The response rate to this treatment is between 50 and 100%, and the recurrence rate is between nine and 50% [2, 57]. Local side effects include skin and subcutaneous tissue atrophy, capillary dilatation and hypopigmentation [2].

Other intralesional injections

5-Fluorouracil may be injected intralesionally, alone or with corticosteroids, to treat widespread hypertrophic scars and keloids [5, 58]. A retrospective review of medical charts of patients with keloids (n = 102) showed that those who were treated with 5-fluorouracil together with steroids after surgical excision had a numerically greater reduction in lesion size compared with those who were treated with corticosteroids only after excision (92% vs 73%, respectively) [59]. Another study by Wu et al. demonstrated that surgical removal of earlobe keloids followed by intralesional injection of 5-fluorouracil and corticosteroid prevented relapse in all 83 (100%) patients over a mean of seven months of follow-up [60]. The main side effects associated with 5-fluorouracil injections include pain, purpura formation and a burning sensation. Other agents that may be injected into keloid scars include bleomycin and verapamil, although the clinical evidence to support these options is currently more limited. Bleomycin was shown to effectively flatten the majority of scars in a study of 50 patients with keloids or hypertrophic scars and was associated with a low recurrence rate of 14% [61]. In another study, bleomycin was shown to be particularly effective for the treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars larger than 100 mm² in size [62]. Verapamil has also been shown to effectively treat keloids either as monotherapy, or as adjuvant therapy after surgical excision with or without silicone therapy [63-65].

Cryotherapy

Cryotherapy may be used to treat recalcitrant keloids. In this procedure, a metal rod is introduced into the keloid which is then destroyed by extreme cooling [5, 66, 67]. A study by Har-Shai et al. showed that intralesional cryotherapy is associated with a significant 67% reduction in the volume of recalcitrant keloid scars (p<0.005) as well as decreases in scar hardness, elevation and erythema [66]. The main side effect associated with this treatment is hypopigmentation [67].

Radiotherapy

Adjuvant radiotherapy (e.g., brachytherapy with Iridium 192 or electron-beam irradiation) is advocated following surgical excision of keloids to reduce their rate of recurrence [5]. For example, post-excisional brachytherapy has been associated with a low keloid recurrence rate of 5-24% [68-70]. Disadvantages of this treatment are radiodermatitis, atrophy and the theoretical possibility of carcinogenesis.

Laser and light therapies

Scar prevention

Since 1983, clinical, histological and immunohistochemical studies have demonstrated that lasers have “photo-biomodulation” capabilities, inducing tissue regeneration which is similar to the scarless wound healing that occurs in foetal tissue [71]. The pulsed dye laser, applied on the day of suture removal, with low fluences (about 4.5 J/cm²) and short pulse duration (about 1.5 to 2 ms) remains the gold standard. This treatment has a transient purpuric effect. Depending on patient risk factors and history, sessions can be repeated every three to four weeks. Recently, some new laser therapies have been shown to provide good to excellent results in some clinical series, including diode laser EKKYO just after wound closure and non-ablative or ablative fractional lasers just before wound closure or on the day of suture removal [72]. Sessions can be repeated depending on the patient’s risk of developing a scar and on the evolution of the scar itself. As is usual with laser therapy, indications, settings, post-operative care and follow-up must be adapted to the patient’s phototype to minimise complications such as scarring or depigmentation.

Scar management

Except for ablative CO2 or Er:YAG lasers which are used to remove major scars, lasers are most often used as a non-invasive option to improve scar texture, telangiectasia or hyperpigmentation, or to prevent scar recurrence after a surgical revision. Lasers must always be used in combination with occlusion/compression therapy and are often immediately followed by intralesional or laser-assisted delivery of corticosteroids. In a recent meta-analysis, pulsed-dye lasers...
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cular” lasers (e.g., KTP 532 nm; Nd-YAG LP 1064 nm) and intense pulsed lights are used with success. Non-ablative or ablative fractional lasers are increasingly being used for the treatment of scars, with a growing body of supporting evidence from case reports and series, especially for post-burn scars for which they provide good to excellent improvements of texture, thickness, contracture, pruritus, pain and dyspigmentation [74]. The exact mechanism of action of lasers is not yet clearly understood. However, they seem to be able to induce a remodelling effect and also to induce differentiation and migration of “niched” pilo-sebaceous melano blasts.

In 2014, there is still uncertainty about which laser ther-

Botulinum toxin A

The potential of botulinum toxin A to decrease tensile forces on post-surgical or post-traumatic scars (especially on the face and neck) and to minimise these scars is well-known, and this treatment has been used by surgeons for about 10 years [75]. More recently, in vitro and animal studies have reported that botulinum toxin may act on the biological behaviour of fibroblasts, although its mechanism of action is still debated [76, 77]. Currently only a few reports have discussed the doses of botulinum toxin that are required for scar prevention. Consequently we recom-

that botulinum toxin is given four to seven days before surgery perpendicular to the anticipated wound to reduce tensile forces with doses adjusted according to the muscles involved and to avoid muscular imbalance. In hypertrophic scars or minor keloids, a dose of 2.5 Speywood Units/cm² (or 1 Allergan Unit or DL 50/cm²) should be used.

Conclusions

There is a growing number of options for the prevention and treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids, although as yet the published clinical evidence to support many of these is rather limited. The scar management guidelines that are presented in this article are based on the evidence available to date. Silicone sheets and gels are recommended as the current gold standard, first-line non-invasive option for the prevention and treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids on the basis of the results of over 20 recent clinical stud-

ies. These studies have confirmed the efficacy and safety of silicone products for scar prevention and treatment. Addi-

tional scar management measures with a reasonable level of supporting evidence include compression therapy and intralesional corticosteroids. Further study of other preven-
tative and treatment options is warranted to strengthen the evidence base on which guideline recommendations can be based.
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